

REMARKS

I. Summary

In response to the office action dated October 17, 2005, the applicants traverse the 102 (e) rejections based upon US Patent No. 5,256,863 to Ferguson et al. ("Ferguson") because the Ferguson reference relied upon by the examiner, is not prior art under 102 (e) for the following reasons.

Applicants submit that a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant constitutes prior under 102 (e) if the application describes the invention before the invention by the applicant for patent. 35 USC 102 (e) (2). Since Ferguson does not claim priority to any other applications, Ferguson's filing date is November 5, 1991. See Ferguson patent 5,256,863 item 22 (Attachment 1). Thus, Ferguson's 102 (e) prior art date is November 5, 1991.

This application, however, claims priority to application Serial No. 07/345,475, filed May 1, 1989 by David W. Deaton and Robert S. Wood entitled "Check Transaction Processing Method and System." This application and all intervening applications in the chain of priority to 07/345,475 have the same disclosure. May 1, 1989 is two years and six months prior to the November 5, 1991 priority date of the Ferguson patent. Thus, Ferguson is not a prior art reference. In order to support the applicants' assertion that this application is entitled to claim benefit to the May 1, 1989 filing date of the 07/345,475 application, and that all applications in the priority chain have the same disclosure, the applicants provide and cite to the following evidence.

II. Evidence

Attachment 2: Request for Filing Continuation Application Under 37 CFR 1.60
Filed- 9/22/1997 (which is this 08/935,116 application)

Attachment 2 is a copy of (1) a request for filing a 37 CFR 1.60 continuation application of pending US Application Serial No. 08/117,951 and (2) a certificate of express mailing, having a mail date of September 22, 1997. Attachment 2 is the paper record in applicant's file for this application, 08/935,116, filed on 9/22/1997.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Attachment 2, page 3 shows the applicants amended the specification of 08/935,116 by inserting a paragraph "Cross Reference to Related Applications." In that paragraph the applicants claimed that this application was a continuation of 08/117,951, filed August 30, 1993, which was a continuation of application 07/826,255 filed January 24, 1992, and which was a continuation of application 07/345,475 filed May 1, 1989.

Attachment 3: Request For Corrected Official Filing Receipt- Filed 08/10/1998 in 08/935,116

Attachment 3 is a copy of request for a corrected USPTO filing receipt filed on 08/10/1998 - a paper record in applicant's file for application 08/935,116, filed on 9/22/1997. Attachment 3 page 3 shows that the applicants had notified the USPTO that the priority data was incorrectly entered into the USPTO records and had requested the USPTO to correct the priority data to application 07/345,475 filed on May 1, 1989.

Attachment 4: Request For Corrected Official Filing Receipt- Filed 06/11/2002 in 08/935,116

Attachment 4 is a copy of request for corrected official filing receipt filed on 6/11/2002 - a paper record in applicant's file for application 08/935,116, filed on 9/22/1997. Pages 3-5 show that the applicants notified the USPTO regarding the omission of the domestic priority information. Thus, this request shows that the applicants had notified the USPTO of the missing priority claim and requested the USPTO to enter the correct priority claim into the USPTO records.

Attachment 5: Request For Corrected Official Filing Receipt- Filed 12/08/2004 in 08/935,116

Attachment 5 is a copy of request for an official corrected filing receipt filed on 12/08/2004 - a paper record in applicant's file for application 08/935,116, filed on 9/22/1997. Page 4 shows that the applicants had notified the USPTO about the incorrect USPTO filing receipt.

Attachment 6: Portions of photocopies of the official file history for 07/345,475 including its disclosure, as filed, statement claiming small entity status, certificate of mailing and transmittal, all stamped by the USPTO with the date May 1, 1989 and the application number 07/345,475. Attachment 6 shows that the disclosure of 07/345,475 is the same as the disclosure of the 08/935,116 application.


III. Analysis

Attachments 2-5 show that on four separate occasions, the applicants had requested the USPTO to correct and enter the domestic priority claim to application 07/345,475 filed on May 1, 1989, into the specification of the pending application 08/935,116. Apparently, the USPTO failed to enter the corrected priority claim into the USPTO record. The fact that USPTO records may fail to reflect that is office error, and legally irrelevant to what constitutes legal prior art under 35 USC 102(e).

Application 08/935,116 is therefore one in a chain continuations of application 07/345,475 because all have the same substantive disclosure. The applicants' properly claimed continuity to May 1, 1989. A comparison of the disclosure in attachment 6 with the disclosure in this application shows them to be substantively identical.

Since this application is clearly entitled to the benefit of the filing date of May 1, 1989, US Patent 5,256,863 to Ferguson et al. is not a prior art reference under 102 (e). Therefore, the rejections based upon Ferguson of claims 8-16 are improper and should be withdrawn. Accordingly, claims 8-16 should be held allowable.

Respectfully submitted,



Richard A. Neifeld

Registration No. 35, 299

Attorney of record

Laba Karki

Registration No. 55,317

LK

Printed: November 29, 2005 (11:51am)

**Y:\Clients\Catalina\DEATON-18\DEATON-18-US-C1\Drafts\ResponseToOfficeAction_051
125.wpd**



LIST OF ATTACHMENTS 1-6

Attachment 1: Copy of First Page of Ferguson patent 5,256,863

Attachment 2: Copy of Request for Filing Continuation Application Under 37 CFR 1.60 Filed- 9/22/1997

Attachment 3: Copy of Request For Corrected Official Filing Receipt- Filed 08/10/1998

Attachment 4: Copy of Request For Corrected Official Filing Receipt- Filed 06/11/2002



Attachment 5: Copy of Request For Corrected Official Filing Receipt- Filed 12/08/2004

Attachment 6: Portions of photocopies of the official file history for 07/345,475 including its disclosure, as filed, statement claiming small entity status, certificate of mailing and transmittal, all stamped by the USPTO with the date May 1, 1989 and the application number 07/345,475.

LK

December 7, 2005 (11:30am)

Y:\Clients\Catalina\DEATON-18\DEATON-18-US-C1\Drafts\listofattachments_051207.wpd



PATENT
Attorney Docket No. 26656-0238

4/a
Pal
5-5

1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

DAVID W. DEATON, et al.

For: CHECK TRANSACTION PROCESSING METHOD AND SYSTEM

Anticipated Classification of
this Application:

Class: _____, Subclass: _____

Prior Application:

Serial No.: 08/117,951

Filed: August 30, 1993

Examiner: Kuong Chung-Trans

Group: 2311

I hereby certify that this correspondence
is being deposited with the United States
Postal Service "Express Mail Post
Office to Addressee" under 37 C.F.R.
1.10 and is addressed to: Commissioner
of Patents and Trademarks, Washington,
D.C. 20231, on September 22, 1997.

Willie J. J...

Name

TP571666084US

Express Mail Cert. No.

Honorable Commissioner of
Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

REQUEST FOR FILING CONTINUATION APPLICATION

UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.60

This is a request for filing a continuation
application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.60 of pending U.S.
Application Serial No. 08/117,951, filed on August 30,
1993, for "Check Transaction Processing Method and System,"
by the following named inventors:

Attachment 2 page 1

Name: DAVID W. DEATON
 Address: 922 Ruswood Circle
 Abilene, Texas 79601
 Citizenship: U.S.A.

Name: ROBERT S. WOOD
 Address: 902 Pardoners Road
 Abilene, Texas 79602
 Citizenship: U.S.A.

Enclosed is a copy of the prior application, including the Declaration and Power of Attorney, as filed in the prior application.

I hereby verify that the attached papers are a true copy of prior Application Serial No. 08/117,951 as originally filed on August 30, 1993, and further that this statement was made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

The filing fee is calculated below:

CLAIMS AS FILED IN THE PRIOR APPLICATION,
 LESS ANY CLAIMS CANCELLED BY AMENDMENT BELOW
 OR ADDED BY PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

For	Number Filed	Extra	Rate	Fee
Basic Fee.....				\$385.00
Total				
Claims	4	-20 = 0 x	\$11	= \$000.00
Independent				
Claims	4	-3 = 1 x	\$40	= \$ 40.00
TOTAL FILING FEE.....				\$425.00

*465.00 check
sent
C. Stude*

1. Enclosed is a check in the amount of \$425.00 in payment of the filing fee. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 02-0384 of Baker & Botts, L.L.P. A duplicate copy of this paper is enclosed.

2. Cancel in this application original Claims 2-7, leaving Claim 1 to prosecute in this application, before calculating the filing fee.

3. Amend the Specification by inserting the following at Page 1, line 1:

--CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of pending U.S. Application Serial No. 08/117,951 filed August 30, 1993 by David W. Deaton and Robert S. Wood entitled "Check Transaction Processing Method and System," pending; which is a continuation of U.S. Application Serial No. 07/826,255 filed January 24, 1992 by David W. Deaton and Robert S. Wood entitled "Check Transaction Processing Method and System," abandoned; which is a continuation of U.S. Application Serial No. 07/345,475 filed May 1, 1989 by David W. Deaton and Robert S. Wood entitled "Check Transaction Processing Method and System," abandoned.

This application is related to U.S. Patent No. 5,201,010 issued April 6, 1993 entitled "Method and System for Building a Database and Performing Marketing Based upon Prior Shopping History"; U.S. Patent No. 5,237,620 issued August 17, 1993 entitled "Check Reader Method and System for Reading Check MICR Code"; U.S. Patent No. 5,305,196 issued April 19, 1994 entitled "Check Transaction Processing, Database Building and Marketing Method and System Utilizing Automatic Check Reading"; U.S. Patent No. 5,327,508 issued July 5, 1994 entitled "Method and System for Building a Database and Performing Marketing Based upon

Prior Shopping History"; U.S. Patent No. 5,388,165 issued February 7, 1995 entitled "Method and System for Building a Database and Performing Marketing Based upon Prior Shopping History"; U.S. Patent No. 5,430,644 issued July 4, 1995 entitled "Check Transaction Processing, Database Building and Marketing Method and System Utilizing Automatic Check Reading"; U.S. Patent No. 5,448,471 issued September 5, 1995 entitled "Check Transaction Processing, Database Building and Marketing Method and System Utilizing Automatic Check Reading"; U.S. Patent No. 5,592,560 issued January 7, 1997 entitled "Method and System for Building a Database and Performing Marketing Based upon Prior Shopping History"; U.S. Patent No. 5,621,812 issued April 15, 1997 entitled "Method and System for Building a Database for use with Selective Incentive Marketing in Response to Customer Shopping Histories"; U.S. Patent No. 5,638,457 issued June 10, 1997 entitled "Method and System for Building a Database for use with Selective Incentive Marketing in Response to Customer Shopping Histories"; U.S. Patent No. 5,642,485 issued June 24, 1997 entitled "Method and System for Selective Incentive Point-of-Sale Marketing in Response to Customer Shopping Histories"; U.S. Patent No. 5,644,723 issued July 1, 1997 entitled "Method and System for Selective Incentive Point-of-Sale Marketing in Response to Customer Shopping Histories"; U.S. Patent No. 5,649,114 issued July 15, 1997 entitled "Method and System for Selective Incentive Point-of-Sale Marketing in Response to Customer Shopping Histories"; U.S. Patent No. 5,659,469 issued August 19, 1997 entitled "Check Transaction Processing, Database Building and Marketing Method and System Utilizing Automatic Check Reading"; U.S. Application Serial No. 08/096,921 filed July 23, 1993 entitled "Method and System for Selective Incentive Point-of-Sale Marketing in Response to Customer Shopping Histories"; U.S. Application Serial No. 08/302,521 filed September 6, 1994 entitled "Method and System for Building a Database for use

with Selective Incentive Marketing in Response to Customer Shopping Histories"; U.S. Application Serial No. 08/457,300 filed June 1, 1995 entitled "Method and System for Selective Incentive Point-of-Sale Marketing in Response to Customer Shopping Histories"; U. S. Application Serial No. 08/701,456 filed August 22, 1996 entitled "Check Transaction Processing, Database Building and Marketing Method and System Utilizing Automatic Check Reading"; U.S. Application Serial No. 08/734,675 filed October 21, 1996 entitled "Method and System for Selective Incentive Point-of-Sale Marketing in Response to Customer Shopping Histories"; U.S. Application Serial No. 08/820,020 filed March 12, 1997 entitled "Method and System for Selective Incentive Point-of-Sale Marketing in Response to Customer Shopping Histories"; U.S. Application Serial No. 08/815,756 filed March 12, 1997 entitled "Method and System for Selective Incentive Point-of-Sale Marketing in Response to Customer Shopping Histories: U.S. Application Serial No. 08/896,840 filed July 18, 1997 entitled "Method and System for Building a Database for use with Selective Incentive Marketing in Response to Customer Shopping Histories".--

5687322

6-1

4. Informal drawings are enclosed herewith.
5. A Letter to the Office Draftsman is enclosed.
6. A Preliminary Amendment is enclosed.
7. A Verified Statement Claiming Small Entity Status is enclosed.
8. The prior application is assigned of record to CREDIT VERIFICATION CORPORATION, a Texas corporation, at reel 5123, frame 0680 in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office records, and was recorded on July 3, 1989.

9. The Power of Attorney in the prior application is to:

Jerry W. Mills, Reg. No. 23,005

Robert M. Chiaviello, Jr., Reg. No. 32,461

The power appears in the original papers in the prior application.

10. An Appointment of Associate Attorneys is enclosed.

11. Please address all future communications to:

Jerry W. Mills, Esq.

BAKER & BOTTS, L.L.P.

2001 Ross Avenue

Dallas, Texas 75201-2980

214/953-6665

Early and favorable acceptance of this continuation application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
BAKER & BOTTS, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Applicant



Christopher W. Kennerly
Registration No. 40,675

CWK:bkd
2001 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75201-2916
(214) 953-6812
Date: September 22, 1997



The "Received" stamp of the Patent and Trademark Office imprinted hereon acknowledges the filing of:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Circle One:
New Appn. Provisional C.P.A. Con. CIP. DIV. PCT | <input type="checkbox"/> Circle One: AMENDMENT/RESPONSE |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PCT: PTO 1382/Request/Fee Calc. Sheet | <input type="checkbox"/> EOT _____ MTHS _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PCT: Demand/Fee Calc. Sheet | <input type="checkbox"/> NOTICE OF APPEAL _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DECLARATION/POWER OF ATTORNEY | <input type="checkbox"/> APPEAL BRIEF _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ASSIGNMENT | <input type="checkbox"/> ISSUE FEE _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> VERIFIED STATEMENT | <input type="checkbox"/> CHECK NO. _____ AMT.: _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FORMAL DWGS _____ PAGES | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SERIAL NO. <u>087935, 116</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> INFORMAL DWGS _____ PAGES | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER <u>Request for Corrected</u> |

NAME OF INVENTOR(S): David W. Deaton et al.

TITLE OF INVENTION: Check Transaction Processing

CLIENT OR APPLICANT: InterAct

S&B FILE NO.: 065525-0121

MAILED: 08-04-98

EXPRESS ☐

DUE:


RECEIPT NO. _____

ATTY/SECY:

CWK/dl

CERTIFICATE
OF MAILING ☒

HAND DELIVERED ☐



APP
ASG
COR
DRF
DRG
EXT
IDA
IDF
IFF

Assignment
Certificate of Correction Requested
Draft to Inventor
Formal Dwg to PTO
Request for Extension Filed Mo.
No IDS Required
Info. Discl. Stmt. Filed
Issue Fee to PTO

RST
RVF
SML
SPO
TER
TRF
WTH

Electronic Filing
Review for Filing
Verified Status
Supplemental Oath Filed
Terminal Disclaimer Filed
Reassigned to Attorney
Withdraw Application

DATE ACTION COMPLETED: 08-04-98 (CIRCLE CODE ABOVE)

REMOVE FROM DOCKET (File Attached): Effective Date:

CLM Close File:
ABN Abandoned:
DEF Deferred to:
TFR Transferred out:

Complete ALL information below

CLIENT NAME:

ATTN: CWK

SERIAL NO. 08/935,116

SIGNED: David W. Deaton

FILE NO. 0655250121

DAL 96583

The "Received" stamp of the Patent and Trademark Office imprinted hereon acknowledges the filing of:

- ☐ Circle One:
New Appn. Provisional C.P.A. Con. CIP. DIV. PCT
☐ PCT: PTO 1362/Request/Fee Calc. Sheet
☐ PCT: Demand/Fee Calc. Sheet
☐ DECLARATION/POWER OF ATTORNEY
☐ ASSIGNMENT
☐ VERIFIED STATEMENT
☐ FORMAL DWGS PAGES
☐ INFORMAL DWGS PAGES

- ☐ Circle One: AMENDMENT/RESPONSE
☐ EOT MTHS
☐ NOTICE OF APPEAL
☐ APPEAL BRIEF
☐ ISSUE FEE
☐ CHECK NO. AMT:
☒ SERIAL NO. 08/935,116
☒ OTHER Request for Correction
Ob. card RECEIPT DATE & SERIAL NO.

NAME OF INVENTOR(S):

David W. Deaton et al.

TITLE OF INVENTION:

Check Transaction Processing

CLIENT OR APPLICANT:

Inter Act

SAS FILE NO.:

0655250121

MAILED:

08-04-98

EXPRESS ☐

DUE:

RECEIPT NO.:

ATTY/SECY:

CWK/dl

CERTIFICATE
OF MAILING ☒

HAND DELIVERED ☐

ATTORNEY DOCKET NUMBER
026656.0238

PATENT
08/935,116

1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

David W. Deaton et al.

Serial No.:

08/935,116

Filing Date:

September 22, 1997

Title:

Check Transaction Processing Method and System

Application Processing Division
Customer Correction Branch
Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Application Processing Division, Customer Correction Branch, Assistant Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the date shown below.

Norma S. Love
Name

08-04-98
Date

Dear Sir:

REQUEST FOR CORRECTED OFFICIAL FILING RECEIPT

Attached is a copy of the Official Filing Receipt received from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in the above-identified patent application for which issuance of a corrected filing receipt is respectfully requested.

The filing receipt has omitted the name of the second Applicant. After "DAVID W. DEATON, ABILENE, TX" please insert --ROBERT S. WOOD, ABILENE, TX--.

The filing receipt has omitted part of the continuing data. After "THIS APPLN IS A CON OF 08/117,951 08/30/93" please insert the following:

--WHICH IS A CON OF 07/826,255 01/24/92 ABN

WHICH IS A CON OF 07/345,475 05/01/89 ABN--.

Please refer to "CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION" on page 3 of the attached copy of the "Request for Filing Continuation Application."

ATTORNEY DOCKET NUMBER
026656.0238

PATENT
08/935,116


2

A corrected filing receipt is respectfully requested.

While it is believed that this is not an error by the Applicants, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any amount required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-0384 of Baker & Botts, L.L.P.

Respectfully submitted this 7th day of August, 1998.

BAKER & BOTTS, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Applicants



Christopher W. Kennerly
Reg. No. 40,675

2001 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75201-2916
(214) 953-6812
(kwl)

FILING RECEIPT
CORRECTED



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER
OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	GRP ART UNIT	FIL FEE REC'D	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	DRWGS	TOT CL	IND CL
08/935,116	09/22/97	2781	\$425.00	26656-0238	22	4	4

BAKER AND BOTTS
2001 ROSS AVENUE
DALLAS TX 75201-2916

Receipt is acknowledged of this nonprovisional Patent Application. It will be considered in its order and you will be notified as to the results of the examination. Be sure to provide the U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER, FILING DATE, NAME OF APPLICANT, and TITLE OF INVENTION when inquiring about this application. Fees transmitted by check or draft are subject to collection. Please verify the accuracy of the data presented on this receipt. If an error is noted on this Filing Receipt, please write to the Application Processing Division's Customer Correction Branch within 10 days of receipt. Please provide a copy of the Filing Receipt with the changes noted thereon.

Applicant(s)

DAVID W. DEATON, ABILENE, TX.

Robert S. Wood, Abilene, Tx.

CONTINUING DATA AS CLAIMED BY APPLICANT-

THIS APPLN IS A CON OF 08/117,951 08/30/93
which is a CON of 07/826,255 01/24/92 ABN
which is a CON of 07/345,475 05/01/89 ABN

FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED 01/29/98

* SMALL ENTITY *

TITLE

CHECK TRANSACTION PROCESSING METHOD AND SYSTEM

PRELIMINARY CLASS: 705

Baker & Botts, L.L.P.

JUN 18 1998

RECEIVED

8 LINES/INCH

TB571666084 US

POST OFFICE
TO ADDRESSEE



ORIGIN		Date	Time	Postage
Post Office	Zip Code	In	A.M. P.M.	\$
Initials of Receiving Clerk	Weight lb. oz.	Return Receipt \$		
ACCEPTANCE <input type="checkbox"/>		F.R.E. \$		
<input type="checkbox"/> Next Day Delivery	<input type="checkbox"/> Second Day Delivery	C.O.D. \$		
<input type="checkbox"/> By 12 Noon	<input type="checkbox"/> By 3:00 P.M.	Total Postage & Fees \$		
<input type="checkbox"/> Military	<input type="checkbox"/> Military			
<input type="checkbox"/> 2nd Day	<input type="checkbox"/> 3rd Day			
Express Mail Corporate Account No.		Federal Agency Account No.		

FROM:
BAKER & BOTS LLP
2001 RICE AVE STE 700
DALLAS TX 75201-2780
QWMBKDLPS 0 26656.022

For Postal Use

For Customer Use

MAILING LABEL
Service Analysis & Proof of Delivery

* T B 5 7 1 6 6 6 0 8 4 U S *

DESTINATION	Date of Delivery	M	D	Y	Time of Delivery	A.M. P.M.
X Signature of Addressee or Agent	Date	M	D	Y	Time	A.M. P.M.
DELIVERY WAS ATTEMPTED	Signature of Delivery Employee	1.	2.			

TO: Telephone Number
Box Fee
COMMISSIONER
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON DC 20231

Waiver of Signature and Indemnity (Domestic Only)
I wish delivery to be made without obtaining the signature of the addressee or the addressee's agent. (If in the judgment of the delivery employee, the article can be left in a secure location) and I authorize the delivery employee to sign and the addressee will be deemed to have authorized the signature of the delivery employee will constitute valid proof of delivery.



Ex
m/um

Dept.: PP

OSMM&N File No. 201930US25XCONT

By: CLG/pmh

Serial No. 08/935,116

In the matter of the Application of: David W. DEATON, et al.

For: SYSTEM, METHOD, AND DATABASE FOR PROCESSING
TRANSACTIONS

The following has been received in the U.S. Patent Office on the date stamped hereon:

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> pp. Specification & | Claims/Drawings | Sheets |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Combined Declaration, Petition & Power of Attorney | | pages |
| <input type="checkbox"/> List of Inventor Names and Addresses | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Utility Patent Application | | <input type="checkbox"/> CPA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Priority | | <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Doc |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Check for | | <input type="checkbox"/> Dep. Acct. Order Form |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Assignment/PTO 1595 pages: | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Letter to Official Draftsman | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Letter Requesting Approval of Drawing Changes | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drawings | sheets <input type="checkbox"/> Formal | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Letter | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement | | <input type="checkbox"/> PTO-1449 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cited References () | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Search Report | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Statement of Relevancy | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> IDS/Related/List of Related Cases | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Restriction Response | | <input type="checkbox"/> Election Response |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 132 Declaration | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Petition for Extension of Time | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Appeal | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Brief | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Issue Fee Transmittal | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> White Advanced Serial Number Card | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Request for Corrected Official Filing Receipt | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Copy of Official Filing Receipt with Correction(s) | | |



Attachment 4

page 1

DOCKET NUMBER: 201930US25XCONT/pmh



849003
Rokob

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:
David W. DEATON, et al.

: GROUP: 2162

SERIAL NUMBER: 08/935,116

: ATTENTION:
Application Division
Customer Corrections

FILED: SEPTEMBER 22, 1997

FOR: SYSTEM, METHOD, AND DATABASE FOR PROCESSING TRANSACTIONS

REQUEST FOR CORRECTED OFFICIAL FILING RECEIPT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231


Sir:

The Patent Office is requested to provide a corrected Official Filing Receipt for the attached. No fees are required. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

RECEIVED
JUL 25 10:21
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.


Charles L. Gholz
Attorney of Record
Registration Number 26,395

RECEIVED
JUL 24 2002
GROUP 3600

Paul A. Sacher
Registration No. 43,418



22850

Tel. (703) 413-3000
Fax. (703) 413-2220
(OSMMN 10/98)

page 2

201930425X CONT

CONFIRMATION NO. 8230

CORRECTED FILING RECEIPT



OC000000007104756

Richard A. Neifeld, Esq.
OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1755 Jefferson Davis Highway
Crystal Square Five - Fourth Floor
Arlington, VA 22202



Date Mailed: 11/21/2001

Receipt is acknowledged of a CPA in this nonprovisional Patent Application. It will be considered in its order and you will be notified as to the results of the examination. Be sure to provide the U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER, FILING DATE, NAME OF APPLICANT, and TITLE OF INVENTION when inquiring about this application. Fees transmitted by check or draft are subject to collection. Please verify the accuracy of the data presented on this receipt. If an error is noted on this Filing Receipt, please write to the Office of Initial Patent Examination's Customer Service Center. Please provide a copy of this Filing Receipt with the changes noted thereon. If you received a "Notice to File Missing Parts" for this application, please submit any corrections to this Filing Receipt with your reply to the Notice. When the USPTO processes the reply to the Notice, the USPTO will generate another Filing Receipt incorporating the requested corrections (if appropriate).

Applicant(s)

DAVID W. DEATON, ABILENE, TX;

Domestic Priority data as claimed by applicant

THIS APPLICATION IS A CON OF 08/117,951 08/30/1993 ABN

Foreign Applications

If Required, Foreign Filing License Granted 01/29/1998

CPA filed on: 08/28/2001

Projected Publication Date: 02/28/2002

Non-Publication Request: No

Early Publication Request: No

** SMALL ENTITY **

Title

SYSTEM, METHOD, AND DATABASE FOR PROCESSING TRANSACTIONS

RECEIVED
NOV 26 2001

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND
& NEUSTADT, P.C.

Preliminary Class

705

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE SECOND APPLICANT'S INFORMATION HAS BEEN OMITTED. IT SHOULD READ AS FOLLOWS:

2ND= ROBERT SCOTT WOOD, ABILENE, TEXAS

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE DOMESTIC PRIORITY INFORMATION IS INCORRECT. IT SHOULD READ AS FOLLOWS:

THIS APPLICATION IS A CON OF 08/117,951 08/30/93 ABN
WHICH IS A CON OF 07/826,255 01/24/92 ABN
WHICH IS A CON OF 07/345,475 05/01/89 ABN

page 3

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	GRP ART UNIT	FIL FEE REC'D	ATTY. DOCKET NO.	DRAWINGS	TOT CLAIMS	IND CLAIMS
08/935,116	09/22/1997	2162	2135	28656-0238	22	4	4

201930US 5X CONT

CONFIRMATION NO. 8230

CORRECTED FILING RECEIPT



OC000000007104756

Richard A. Neifeld, Esq.
OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1755 Jefferson Davis Highway
Crystal Square Five - Fourth Floor
Arlington, VA 22202

Date Mailed: 11/21/2001

Receipt is acknowledged of a CPA in this nonprovisional Patent Application. It will be considered in its order and you will be notified as to the results of the examination. Be sure to provide the U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER, FILING DATE, NAME OF APPLICANT, and TITLE OF INVENTION when inquiring about this application. Fees transmitted by check or draft are subject to collection. Please verify the accuracy of the data presented on this receipt. If an error is noted on this Filing Receipt, please write to the Office of Initial Patent Examination's Customer Service Center. Please provide a copy of this Filing Receipt with the changes noted thereon. If you received a "Notice to File Missing Parts" for this application, please submit any corrections to this Filing Receipt with your reply to the Notice. When the USPTO processes the reply to the Notice, the USPTO will generate another Filing Receipt incorporating the requested corrections (if appropriate).

Applicant(s)

DAVID W. DEATON, ABILENE, TX;

Domestic Priority data as claimed by applicant

THIS APPLICATION IS A CON OF 08/117,951 08/30/1993 ABN

Foreign Applications

If Required, Foreign Filing License Granted 01/29/1998

CPA filed on: 08/28/2001

Projected Publication Date: 02/28/2002

Non-Publication Request: No

Early Publication Request: No

** SMALL ENTITY **

Title

SYSTEM, METHOD, AND DATABASE FOR PROCESSING TRANSACTIONS

Preliminary Class

705

RECEIVED
NOV 26 2001

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND
& NEUSTADT, P.C.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE SECOND APPLICANT'S INFORMATION HAS BEEN OMITTED. IT SHOULD READ AS FOLLOWS:

2ND= ROBERT SCOTT WOOD, ABILENE, TEXAS

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE DOMESTIC PRIORITY INFORMATION IS INCORRECT. IT SHOULD READ AS FOLLOWS:

THIS APPLICATION IS A CON OF 08/117,951 08/30/93 ABN
WHICH IS A CON OF 07/826,255 01/24/92 ABN
WHICH IS A CON OF 07/345,475 05/01/89 ABN

page 4



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	GRP ART UNIT	FIL FEE REC'D	ATTY. DOCKET NO	DRAWINGS	TOT CLAIMS	IND CLAIMS
08/935,116	09/22/1997	2162	2135	26656-0238	22	4	4

201930VS25X CONF
CONFIR

CORRECTED FILING RECEIPT



OC000000007104756

Date Mailed: 11/21/2001

Receipt is acknowledged of a CPA in this nonprovisional Patent Application. It will be considered in its order and you will be notified as to the results of the examination. Be sure to provide the U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER, FILING DATE, NAME OF APPLICANT, and TITLE OF INVENTION when inquiring about this application. Fees transmitted by check or draft are subject to collection. Please verify the accuracy of the data presented on this receipt. If an error is noted on this Filing Receipt, please write to the Office of Initial Patent Examination's Customer Service Center. Please provide a copy of this Filing Receipt with the changes noted thereon. If you received a "Notice to File Missing Parts" for this application, please submit any corrections to this Filing Receipt with your reply to the Notice. When the USPTO processes the reply to the Notice, the USPTO will generate another Filing Receipt incorporating the requested corrections (if appropriate).

DAVID W. DEATON, ABILENE, TX; Robert Scott Wood, ABILENE, TX

THIS APPLICATION IS A CON OF 08/117,951 08/30/1993 ABN

THIS APPLICATION IS A CONTINuation OF 68/11,551 concerning *oil, 26,255 off 24/1981 ARB, which is a part of 51/545,095*
Foreign Applications *52/1-19,910N*

CPA filed on: 08/28/2001

Projected Publication Date: 02/28/2002

Non-Publication Request: No

Early Publication Request: No

**** SMALL ENTITY ****

Title

SYSTEM, METHOD, AND DATABASE FOR PROCESSING TRANSACTIONS

Preliminary Class

RECEIVED
NOV 26 2001

NGV 2 6 2001

CBLON, SPINAK, MALCIEH AND
SACTIONS
KRIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

page 5



NEIFELD IP LAW, P.C.
2001 Jefferson Davis Highway
Suite 1001, Crystal Plaza 1
Arlington, VA 22202



Tel: 703-415-0012
Fax: 703-415-0013
Email: rneifeld@Neifeld.com
Web: www.Neifeld.com

THIS IS A FILING RECEIPT

NEIFELD REF:DEATON-18-USC1
In Re Application Of: DEATON et al.
Group Art Unit: 2162
Application Serial No.: 08/935,116
Filing Date: 09/22/97
Examiner: GRAVINI, S.
Title: System, Method, and Database for Processing Transactions

Status check 1-8-04

**THE FOLLOWING HAS BEEN RECEIVED IN THE U.S. PATENT OFFICE ON
THE DATE STAMPED HEREON:**

TRANSMITTAL LETTER WITH AUTHORIZATION TO CHARGE DEPOSIT ACCOUNT (IN DUPLICATE)
37 CFR 1.54(B) REQUEST FOR CORRECTED OFFICIAL FILING RECEIPT (IN DUPLICATE)
COPY OF OFFICIAL FILING RECEIPT MAILED ON 11/21/01, WITH CORRECTIONS IN RED INK (2 PAGES)

rlb

Printed: December 6, 2004 (12:57pm)

Y:\Clients\Catalina\DEATON-18\DEATON-18-US-C1\Drafts\FR_041206.wpd

RECORDED - DEATON18USC1-041206.DHS

NEIFELD IP LAW, P.C.
2001 Jefferson Davis Highway
Suite 1001
Arlington, VA 22202

Tel: 703-415-0012
Fax: 703-415-0013
Email: rneifeld@Neifeld.com
Web: www.Neifeld.com

TRANSMITTAL LETTER AND AUTHORIZATION TO CHARGE DEPOSIT ACCOUNT

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

NEIFELD REF:DEATON-18-USC1
In Re Application Of: DEATON et al.
Group Art Unit: 2162
Application Serial No.: 08/935,116
Filing Date: 09/22/97
Examiner: Gravini, S.
Title: System, Method, and Database for Processing Transactions

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

37 CFR 1.54(B) REQUEST FOR CORRECTED OFFICIAL FILING RECEIPT (IN DUPLICATE)
COPY OF OFFICIAL FILING RECEIPT MAILED ON 11/21/01, WITH CORRECTIONS IN RED INK (2
PAGES)

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering the required fees.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or
credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number 50-2106. A duplicate copy of this sheet
is enclosed.

Respectfully Submitted,

DATE

12/6/04
[Signature]
Richard A. Neifeld, Ph.D.
Registration No. 35,299
Attorney of Record

Printed: December 6, 2004 (1:01pm)
Y:\Clients\Catalina\DEATON-18\DEATON-18-US-C1\Drafts\TransLtr_041206.wpd

NEIFELD REF.: DEATON-18-USC1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: DEATON et al.

USPTO CONFIRMATION CODE: 8230

SERIAL NO: 08/935,116

FILED: 9/22/1997

EXAMINER: Gravini, S.

GROUP ART UNIT: 2162

FOR: System, Method, and Database for Processing Transactions

37 CFR 1.54(b) REQUEST FOR CORRECTED OFFICIAL FILING RECEIPT

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313

Sir:

The Patent Office is requested to provide a corrected Official Filing Receipt for the attached. If you have any question, please do not hesitate to contact us.

No fees are required. However, in the event that a fee is required, please charge the appropriate amount to our Deposit Account No. 50-2106. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully Submitted,

12/6/04
DATE

[Signature]
Richard A. Neifeld, Ph.D.

Registration No. 35,299

Attorney of Record

Printed: December 6, 2004 (1:03pm)

Y:\Clients\Catalina\DEATON-18\DEATON-18-US-C1\Drafts\ReqCorOFR_041206.wpd



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	GRP ART UNIT	FIL FEE REC'D	ATTY. DOCKET NO.	DRAWINGS	TOT CLAIMS	IND CLAIMS
08/935,116	09/22/1997	2162	2135	26656-0238	22	4	4

201930US25X CONT

CONFIRMATION NO. 8230

CORRECTED FILING RECEIPT



OC000000007104756

Richard A. Neifeld, Esq.,
OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1755 Jefferson Davis Highway
Crystal Square Five - Fourth Floor
Arlington, VA 22202

Date Mailed: 11/21/2001

Receipt is acknowledged of a CPA in this nonprovisional Patent Application. It will be considered in its order and you will be notified as to the results of the examination. Be sure to provide the U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER, FILING DATE, NAME OF APPLICANT, and TITLE OF INVENTION when inquiring about this application. Fees transmitted by check or draft are subject to collection. Please verify the accuracy of the data presented on this receipt. If an error is noted on this Filing Receipt, please write to the Office of Initial Patent Examination's Customer Service Center. Please provide a copy of this Filing Receipt with the changes noted thereon. If you received a "Notice to File Missing Parts" for this application, please submit any corrections to this Filing Receipt with your reply to the Notice. When the USPTO processes the reply to the Notice, the USPTO will generate another Filing Receipt incorporating the requested corrections (if appropriate).

Applicant(s)

DAVID W. DEATON, ABILENE, TX; ROBERT SCOTT WOOD, ABILENE, TX

Domestic Priority data as claimed by applicant

THIS APPLICATION IS A CON OF 08/117,951 08/30/1993 ABN; which is a CON of

07/826,255 01/24/1992 ABN; which is a CON of 07/345,475 05/01/1989 ABN

Foreign Applications

If Required, Foreign Filing License Granted 01/29/1998

CPA filed on: 08/28/2001

Projected Publication Date: 02/28/2002

Non-Publication Request: No

Early Publication Request: No

** SMALL ENTITY **

Title

SYSTEM, METHOD, AND DATABASE FOR PROCESSING TRANSACTIONS


Preliminary Class

Power of Attorney:

Richard Neifeld 35,299
Robert Crockett 42,448

RECEIVED
NOV 26 2001

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



CATALINA v. CREDIT

Our Ref: 7791-001-25

File Wrapper History
S.N. 07/345,475
DEATON et al.

Volume I

Ex. 2172

ORIGINAL

Attachment

6

O'Brien EXHIBIT 2172
Deaton v. O'Brien
Interference No. 104,208

7791-001-25
S.N. 07/345,475
DEATON ET AL.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME I

- 0. FILE WRAPPER
- 1. APPLICATION AS FILED - MAY 01, 1989
- 2. VERIFIED STATEMENT CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY - MAY 01, 1989

SEE VOLUME II



07/345475

Applicant or Patentee: David W. Deaton et al. Attorney's
Serial or Patent No.: _____ Docket No.: 26656-170
Filed or Issued: _____
For: CHECK TRANSACTION PROCESSING METHOD AND SYSTEM



VERIFIED STATEMENT (DECLARATION) CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY
STATUS (37 CFR 1.9 (f) and 1.27 (c)) — SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN

I hereby declare that I am
☐ the owner of the small business concern identified below:
☒ an official of the small business concern empowered to act on behalf of the concern identified below:

NAME OF CONCERN Credit Verification Corporation
ADDRESS OF CONCERN 401 N. Loop
Abilene, Texas 79603

I hereby declare that the above identified small business concern qualifies as a small business concern as defined in 13 CFR 121.3-18, and reproduced in 37 CFR 1.9 (d), for purposes of paying reduced fees under section 41(a) and (b) of Title 35, United States Code, in that the number of employees of the concern, including those of its affiliates, does not exceed 500 persons. For purposes of this statement, (1) the number of employees of the business concern is the average over the previous fiscal year of the concern of the persons employed on a full-time, part-time or temporary basis during each of the pay periods of the fiscal year, and (2) concerns are affiliates of each other when either, directly or indirectly, one concern controls or has the power to control the other, or a third party or parties controls or has the power to control both.

I hereby declare that rights under contract or law have been conveyed to and remain with the small business concern identified above with regard to the invention, entitled CHECK TRANSACTION PROCESSING METHOD AND SYSTEM by inventor(s)
David W. Deaton et al. described in

☒ the specification filed herewith
☐ application serial no. _____, filed _____
☐ patent no. _____, issued _____

If the rights held by the above identified small business concern are not exclusive, each individual, concern or organization having rights to the invention is listed below* and no rights to the invention are held by any person, other than the inventor, who could not qualify as a small business concern under 37 CFR 1.9 (d) or by any concern which would not qualify as a small business concern under 37 CFR 1.9 (d) or a nonprofit organization under 37 CFR 1.9 (e).

*NOTE: Separate verified statements are required from each named person, concern or organization having rights to the invention averring to their status as small entities. (37 CFR 1.27)

NAME _____
ADDRESS _____
☐ INDIVIDUAL ☐ SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN ☐ NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION

NAME _____
ADDRESS _____
☐ INDIVIDUAL ☐ SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN ☐ NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION

I acknowledge the duty to file, in this application or patent, notification of any change in status resulting in loss of entitlement to small entity status prior to paying, or at the time of paying, the earliest of the issue fee or any maintenance fee due after the date on which status as a small entity is no longer appropriate. (37 CFR 1.28 (b))

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed.

NAME OF PERSON SIGNING David W. Deaton
TITLE OF PERSON OTHER THAN OWNER President
ADDRESS OF PERSON SIGNING 922 Ruswood Circle
Abilene, Texas 79601

SIGNATURE David W. Deaton DATE April 27, 1989



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: David W. Deaton et al.


Title: CHECK TRANSACTION PROCESSING
SYSTEM AND METHOD

Honorable
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL

I hereby certify that this Patent Application, Declaration and Power of Attorney, Assignment and Informal Drawings are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on this 30^{29th} day of April, 1989, and is addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.



Judi Brown
Andrew Viger

Express Mail Receipt No.
B 93727751

07/3454~5



APPLICATION FOR U.S. PATENT
TRANSMITTAL FORM

26656-170

Attorney Docket No.

THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS
AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent application
of:

Inventor(s): David W. Deaton et al.

For: CHECK TRANSACTION PROCESSING SYSTEM AND METHOD

Enclosed are: 22 Sheets of Informal ~~Formal~~ Drawings
An assignment of the invention to:
Credit Verification Corporation


FEE CALCULATION				FEE	
	Number		Number Extra	Rate	Basic Fee \$ 185.00
Total Claims:	<u>7</u>	- 20 =	0	x \$ 6 =	\$ 0
Independent Claims	2	- 3 =	0	x \$18 =	\$ 0
Total Filing Fee =					\$ 185.00
Assignment Recording Fee =					\$ 8.00
TOTAL FEES =					\$ 193.00

Enclosed is a check in the amount of \$ 193.00. Please
charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit
Account No. 02-0575 of Baker, Mills & Glast, P.C. A duplicate
copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Please return the original Assignment document to the under-
signed attorney for Applicant following recordal of same and
address it to: BAKER, MILLS & GLAST, P.C., 500 Trammell Crow
Center, 2001 Ross Avenue, Dallas, TX 75201-2916.

April 29, 1989

Date


Andrew S. Viger
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 28,552



07/245475/5-2010 H
826255

50

CHECK TRANSACTION PROCESSING METHOD AND SYSTEM

Handwritten marks: "I K" and a diagram showing a box with "I K" inside and an arrow pointing right.

TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to transaction processing and analysis systems, including check verification systems, and more particularly, to a method and system for processing and developing a local customer database of customer information, such as check verification status and transaction frequency and dollar volume over specified intervals, that can be used for check verification, marketing and other customer relations purposes.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Retail and other business establishments that serve a large number of customers generally have a problem obtaining transactional information about their customers, such as for identifying new customers and determining transactional patterns for repeat customers (such as transactional frequency and dollar volume).

For those stores that experience a high volume of check transactions, an immediate customer information problem is determining whether to authorize a check transaction in the typical situation where the sales clerk does not personally know the purchaser. Beyond this immediate problem of check verification, these stores have a broader need for gathering transactional information that could be used in developing customer profiles useful in targeting advertising, marketing and promotions.

For example, a typical grocery store does a high transactional volume with checks comprising a significant percentage of the total transactions (typically as much as 85%). These businesses strive for maximum efficiency in completing transactions at the checkout counter, which results in a minimum of contact between the customer and the sales clerk. In this sales environment, neither clerks nor store managers typically develop any significant personal relationship with an individual customer.

Since check transactions account for such a significant percentage of a grocery store's business, these stores naturally make an effort to minimize the number of bad checks that will be returned. Typically, the store will require an additional piece of identification, such as a driver's license and/or a major credit card. However, this requirement for additional identification reduces the efficiency of the checkout process, and inconveniences the significant majority of check transaction customers who do not write bad checks -- typically, a grocery store's bad check experience will be approximately 2% of its check transactions.

Thus, check verification presents a store with problems in customer relations and risk management. A store naturally seeks to improve customer relations with the great majority of customers who do not present check transaction problems by efficiently and quickly authorizing check transactions. However, the store must guard against the financial risks from customers who do write bad checks, either as part of a concerted bad check scheme or as a result of less larcenous conduct that may range from simple bookkeeping mistakes to overly aggressive check floating. In the former case, bad check risk is greatly dependent upon abnormal check transaction activity over a given interval. In the latter cases, the bad check risk is greatly dependent upon check transaction history (total check transaction frequency and dollar volume at a store).

The check transaction risk management problem has two principal aspects -- the risk that a person will write a bad check and the risk that a bad check cannot be

recovered. Again, both of these risk factors are greatly dependent upon a customer's historical check transaction activity. As the total number of check transactions by a customer at a particular store increases, both the risk that the customer will write a bad check decreases, and more significantly, the risk that store will not be able to recover on a bad check decreases.

For example, a customer with fewer than 200-300 check transactions at a store presents a relatively high risk in terms of recovery on a bad check, while a customer with more than 600-700 check transactions presents a minimal risk. Thus, a store practicing risk management should put substantially more restrictions in terms of check transaction frequency and total dollar volume over given intervals in the former case than in the latter.

These risk management problems are multiplied in the case of multiple store businesses, particularly in the case of concerted bad check cashing schemes. In that case, the typical pattern is to move from store to store within a relatively short period of time.

Beyond these check verification and risk management problems, grocery stores have a broader problem in accumulating customer information because of the emphasis on minimizing the amount of time required for a sales transaction, and the attendant impersonality of the customer relationship. Thus, it is extremely difficult to develop any meaningful customer profiles, or to identify customer groups such as regular customers and new customers who might become regular customers. If a store could accumulate more detailed customer information,

customer profiles could be developed and used for targeted advertising, marketing and promotional programs.

Accordingly, a need exists for a transaction processing system for individual stores (in both single and multiple store environments) that facilitates check transactions by improving the efficiency of the check verification process, and that maintains a local customer database containing transactional information about the store's customers useful for check verification risk management, and for other customer relations purposes such as identifying new customers and profiling regular customers.

Check or credit verification systems are commonly used today to verify check/credit transactions. Typically, these systems include a negative-status database of individuals for whom check or credit transactions will not be authorized (for example, because of an outstanding bad check). In response to requests for check transaction verification, these systems indicate that the customer's status is either positive (transaction authorized) or negative (transaction not authorized).

U.S. Patents RE.30,579, RE.30,580, and RE.30,821 (Goldman) disclose a system for commercial retail establishments in which customer records are identified by arbitrary identification information such as a driver's license number and contain some customer status and transactional data (such as bad check history and frequency of checking transactions in a given period). For each check transaction, the clerk enters into a transaction terminal the customer's arbitrary identification. Such systems have not been commercially

practical, because store customers dislike having to identify themselves, particularly when they have been long-time customers of the store.

In the systems disclosed by Goldman, if the customer database contains a corresponding customer record, a customer status indication (positive or negative) is returned to the clerk. If the customer database does not contain a corresponding customer record, the system creates a new record, and indicates first-time/interim status for the customer. The database is regularly updated by changing customer status to reflect check transaction experience or the sufficient passage of time for check clearance to allow transfers from first-time/interim status to positive status. Such first-time/interim status as taught by Goldman is dangerous for the store owner, as a customer can gain access to a positive indication level, thus enabling the cashing of many checks in a short time period, by the clearing of only a single check.

Existing check transaction processing systems are disadvantageous for high-volume check transaction operations. In particular, the Goldman patents do not disclose a practical system for businesses to efficiently verify check transactions, while developing a localized customer database for each store that may be used by the store to develop customer profiles useful for marketing and other customer relations purposes. The Goldman system, and others, are based on using some form of identification with the customer's check, a procedure that both slows the check transaction process and inconveniences the large majority of customers who will

not present a bad check. Moreover, such systems as disclosed in the Goldman patent do not enable sufficient control over a customer's check transaction frequency and dollar volume, and thus subject the store owner to substantial financial risk.

Many such systems require connecting a point-of-sale terminal through telephone lines to a remote transaction processing system, thereby increasing not only the cost of operating the systems, but also increasing the time for providing check verification. Also, existing systems typically do not focus on maintaining a local customer database useful not only for check transaction processing, but also for identifying new customers and developing customer profiles for regular customers.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Important aspects of the present invention are to facilitate check transactions by reducing the requirements for customer identification, to enable a store to adopt a risk management approach to check verification based on a customer's transactional history (frequency and dollar volume over specified intervals), and to improve a store's marketing and other customer relations programs by collecting transactional data for that store, both current and historical, that can be used to identify new customer's and develop customer profiles.

More specifically, this invention is a check transaction processing system that uses a customer's checking account number as a unique customer identification number. Thus, the system does not require time-consuming checking of additional customer identification, but only requires the speedy entry of the customer's checking account number. The system operates at an individual store, and maintains at that store a local customer database of customer records, each identified by the corresponding customer check identification number. The customer records also include customer information, such as check verification data (such as verification status) as well as other selected transactional data (such as transaction frequency and dollar volume), the verification and transaction data being regularly updated with new data (such as during check transaction verification).

The system includes one or more transaction terminals, coupled to a transaction processor that stores the customer database. A transaction terminal is used to

transmit a customer information request (such as for check transaction verification), which includes a customer's check identification number, from the point-of-sale (POS) to the transaction processor.

The transaction processor processes the customer information request, using the check identification number to search the customer database and retrieve the corresponding customer record, if any. Based on the customer information in the customer record, or the lack of a customer record, the transaction processor returns an appropriate response (such as check verification status) to the transaction terminal.

Thus, the method of this invention for check transaction processing involves: (a) identifying a customer by the customer's unique check ID; (b) developing and maintaining for a store a local customer database of customer records, each identified by the corresponding customer check identification number, and each including customer information (such as verification status and transactional data); (c) generating a customer information request; (d) processing the request using the customer check identification number to access the corresponding customer record, if any; (e) returning an appropriate customer information response based on the customer information in the customer record; and (f) updating the customer database regularly to reflect new customer information.

More specific aspects of the preferred embodiment of the invention are the following.

The transaction terminals and the transaction processor form a token ring data communication network.

Each transaction terminal includes (a) a keypad for entering check identification numbers, function codes and appropriate transaction data, which form customer information requests, and (b) a display for displaying the requests and the returned responses.

The customer records in the customer database include an assigned check verification status, such as POSITIVE (transaction authorized), NEGATIVE (transaction not authorized) or CAUTION (transaction should be scrutinized or subject to certain conditions). The first time a customer attempts a check transaction at a store (i.e., a search of the customer database pursuant to a check verification request indicates no existing customer record), a new customer record with a CAUTION status is created, and a CAUTION response is returned to the transaction terminal. The customer remains in the CAUTION status for a period of time sufficient for this initial check to clear or be returned. If this CAUTION/POSITIVE interval passes, the system automatically updates status to POSITIVE; if the check is returned, customer status is updated by inputting a NEGATIVE status.

In addition to check verification status data, the local customer database includes transactional data such as transaction frequency and dollar volume over specified intervals. This transactional data can be used to place conditions risk management on check transaction verification over and above verification status. For example, in the case of a customer with either CAUTION or POSITIVE status, if a check transaction exceeds certain specified transaction limits frequency and/or dollar amount over a specified interval (such as day, week or

total), a CALL MANAGER response is returned in response to a check verification request, regardless of customer status.

Moreover, because the check transactional data is generated and maintained locally, it provides significant information about the store's customers over and above the information necessary for check verification risk management. New customers are readily identified, and frequency and dollar volume information may be used to establish customer profiles and to target advertising, marketing and promotional programs, and for other customer relations purposes.

In the case of a multiple store business, each store has a local check transaction processing system, with one of the systems being designated a host site and the rest being designated remote sites. At selected intervals, each remote system transmits to the host selected customer information from its local customer database (such as customer records for those customers with CAUTION and NEGATIVE status including transactional data), which is used to update the host customer database to include this global customer information. The host, in turn, transmits that global customer information to the other remote systems.

Check transaction processing is implemented by a multi-tasking program executing in the transaction processor. The program includes: (a) a terminal manager task that implements network data communication for the transaction terminals, communicating customer information requests and responses; (b) a Data Manager Task that controls the database operations necessary to respond to

customer information requests and to update the customer information in the database; and (c) an Event Manager Task that implements system activities such as backup and database purge, and in the case of multiple-store systems, implements host/remote communications activities to transfer selected customer information among the stores for updating each store's local customer database with the selected global customer information.

Important features and advantages of this invention are the following. The check transaction processing system uses as a unique customer identification number the customer's check identification number, avoiding the requirement for additional identification and the attendant delay in completing the check transaction.

The system develops and maintains a local customer database, allowing the store to accumulate customer information relevant to the store's customers over and above that information necessary for check verification. The system provides for the selection of procedures and criteria for database management and check verification, allowing the store owner/manager considerable flexibility in developing and using the customer information in the store's customer database.

For check verification, the system uses three primary status levels -- POSITIVE, NEGATIVE and CAUTION -- allowing the store to identify those customers with a bad check outstanding, and to identify new customers and establish selected interim risk management procedures for granting those customers check transaction privileges. In addition to check verification status, the system collects and accumulates selected additional transactional data,

including frequency and dollar amounts over specified intervals (such as day/week/total) and other historical information, allowing the store to adopt risk management approach to check verification tailored to the store's particular customer and financial situation by conditioning check authorization on meeting certain selected transactional limits regardless of customer status (the CALL MANAGER response), and allowing the store to develop customer profiles and to target advertising, marketing and promotions, and otherwise improve customer relations.

For multiple-store businesses, the system can use automatic host/remote transfer of selected customer information to upgrade the local customer database at each store with global customer information (such as those customers with CAUTION and NEGATIVE check verification status), thereby maximizing protection against bad checks while maintaining the local character of the store's customer database.

The check transaction processing system is implemented by a multi-tasking program, and uses local area network data communication among the transaction terminals and the transaction processor, allowing efficient operation of the system at each individual store.

Other objects, features and advantages of this invention will be apparent from the drawings and the following detailed description of the preferred embodiment, and the appended claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIGURE 1 shows the check transaction processing system of this invention, including a multiple store remote/host configuration.

FIGURE 2A shows a transaction terminal, including the display and the keypad.

FIGURE 2B shows schematic circuit detail for the transaction terminal.

FIGURE 3 functionally diagrams the check transaction processing system.

FIGURE 4 diagrams the verification function.

FIGURE 5 diagrams the local status update function for both Add and Delete NEGATIVE status.

FIGURES 6A and 6B diagram the global update function for, respectively, the host and a remote system.

FIGURE 7 shows the program tasks that form the check transaction processing program.

FIGURE 8 is a program flow diagram of the System Kernel that provides task switching and intertask communication for the other program tasks.

FIGURE 9A is a program flow diagram of the Data Manager Task.

FIGURES 9B-9H are program flow diagrams of selected function execution routines in the Data Manager Task, respectively, verify roll, add NEGATIVE, delete NEGATIVE, host global update (negative status records), host global update (customer records), and remote global update (customer records).

FIGURES 10A and 10B are program flow diagrams of, respectively, the Terminal Manager Task network polling function, and the terminal request subtask.

FIGURES 11A and 11B are program flow diagrams of, respectively, the Event Manager Task, and the event subtask.

FIGURE 12 is a program flow diagram of the Modem Manager Task.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

The check transaction processing system of the present invention enables a store with a significant volume of check transactions to accumulate and process transactional customer information for two main purposes: check verification and customer profiles. The system operates at the store using a local database of customer information useful in that store's business.

A customer's bank checking account number provides a unique identification for that customer -- using this check ID, a customer record is created and included in the local customer database. The customer record includes an assigned customer verification status, as well as selected transactional data. Customer status designations include POSITIVE, NEGATIVE and CAUTION, while transactional data includes transaction frequency and dollar volume over given intervals (such as Day/Week/Total or DWT). Selected transactional (CALL MANAGER) limits are assigned to both CAUTION and POSITIVE status. This customer information (customer status and transactional data) in the customer database is continuously updated (a) on a local basis through either processing check verification requests, or inputting customer status, and (b) in the case of a multiple store business, on a global basis through inter-store transfers of selected customer information (such as CAUTION and NEGATIVE status information).

The description of the preferred embodiment of the check transaction processing system is organized as follows:

- 1.0 Hardware Description
 - 1.1. System Overview
 - 1.2. Data Communications Network
 - 1.3. Transaction Terminal
 - 1.4. Multiple-Store Configuration
 - 1.5. Exemplary Components
- 2.0 Functional Description
 - 2.1. Database Structure
 - 2.2. Function Codes
 - 2.3. Verify/Query
 - 2.4. Local StatusUpdate
 - 2.5. Global Update
 - 2.6. Purge
 - 2.7. Event/Activity
 - 2.8. Communications
 - 2.9. System
 - 2.10. Risk Management
 - 2.11. Customer Profiles
- 3.0 Program Description
 - 3.1. General
 - 3.2. System Kernel
 - 3.3. Data Manager Task
 - 3.4. Terminal Manager Task
 - 3.5. Event Manager Task
 - 3.6. Modem Manager Task
 - 3.7. System/Screen Tasks

- 4.0 Additional Embodiments
 - 4.1. Hardware
 - 4.2. Standard Multi-Tasking Operating System

1.0 Check Transaction Processing System

The check transaction processing system is located at a store, and maintains a local customer database for that store. For a multiple store business, a local system is located at each store and global customer information transfers are used to supplement the essentially local customer database.

1.1. System Overview. As shown in FIGURE 1, a check transaction processing system 110 located at a store includes a transaction processor 112 coupled to a disk system 114 that stores the customer database used in check transaction processing. Transaction processor 112 handles all file I/O for accessing, managing and updating the customer database.

Transaction processor 112 is coupled through a network data communications interface 116 (including network communications ports and associated drivers) and a network bus 118 to a plurality of transaction terminals 120. Transaction processor 112 is able to communicate with other check transaction processing systems through a telecommunications interface 117 (including a modem).

Transaction terminals 120 are each located at a point-of-sale (such as a grocery store checkout stand). Transaction terminals 120 are used to communicate information to transaction processor 112 for check transaction processing and customer database management.

A transaction terminal transmits a request (including a function code identifying the requested function together with other request data) to the transaction processor, which processes the request and returns an appropriate response.

For example, in the case of check verification, a transaction terminal is used to transmit a verification request -- the customer's check ID, the verification function code, and the dollar amount. The transaction processor processes the request, updates the customer database to reflect that transaction, and returns a customer verification status response.

1.2. Data Communications Network. Data communications between transaction processor 112 and transaction terminals 120 is implemented using a multi-drop token ring network. Network bus 118 connects the transaction terminals to the transaction processor in a star configuration so that all data signals transmitted over the network are received at each node. Each transaction terminal 120 is assigned a unique terminal address to identify its data communications.

Transaction processor 112 implements a token-passing protocol by broadcasting polling sequences (or cycles) in which tokens are sequentially addressed to the transaction terminals. For each poll, the transaction processor sends to a terminal one of two tokens (which both include the terminal address):

POLL Token	An invitation for the terminal to transmit data
RXDATA Token	Includes data requested by the terminal

In response to a POLL token, the transaction terminal transmits back one of two answers:

TXDATA Answer	Includes data entered into the terminal
---------------	---

NODATA Answer	Indicates no data
---------------	-------------------

During any given polling sequence, each transaction terminal is in one of three polling states that control the polling operation:

Poll	Send a POLL token
------	-------------------

Wait	Do not send a token until requested data is available
------	---

Data	Send an RXDATA token that includes the requested data in the terminal's buffer
------	--

For example, in response to a POLL token, a transaction terminal may transmit a TXDATA Answer containing a check verification request. Once the request is transmitted, the terminal is placed in the Wait state until the verification response from the transaction processor is available. The response is placed in the terminal's buffer, and the terminal is placed in the Data state. The response is included in an RXDATA token sent to the terminal during the next polling sequence, and the terminal is placed in the Poll state ready to receive a POLL token in the next polling sequence.

For the preferred embodiment, network communications interface 116 provides 32 ports for up to 32 transaction terminals. The data communications network uses the RS485 line protocol, which specifies differential

signal lines SIG+ and SIG-, as well as +12V and ground lines. The network communications interface and the corresponding interfaces for each transaction terminal use a differential line driver for signal communication over network bus 118, which provides the necessary 4-wire signal path.

1.3. Transaction Terminal. As shown in FIGURE 2A, each transaction terminal 120 includes a keypad 122 and a display 124. Keypad 122 is a 4X4 key matrix that includes specific keys for Function, Enter, Scroll, Clear and Back Space, as well as 0-9 and \$. Display 124 is a liquid crystal display capable of displaying two lines of up to twenty characters each.

For example, to initiate a check verification request, keypad 122 is used to enter the customer's check ID and the amount of the check, along with the function code designating check verification. This request is displayed on display 124, and sent to transaction processor 112. The check verification response, including the customer's verification status (such as POSITIVE, NEGATIVE or CAUTION), returned by the transaction processor is then displayed on display 124.

As shown in FIGURE 2B, a transaction terminal 120 includes:

- (a) A Z8 microprocessor 130;
 - (b) An associated address latch 132;
 - (c) An EPROM 134;
 - (d) An LCD (liquid crystal display) module 136;
- and

(e) A differential transceiver 138.

Address and data paths are provided by an Address/Data Bus and a separate Address Bus.

The transaction terminal is coupled to the RS485 multi-drop network bus (118 in FIGURE 1) through a 5-Pin DIN connector 140. The RS485 network bus provides signal lines SIG+ and SIG-, along with a +12 volt power line and a ground line.

EPROM 134 provides program memory for microprocessor 130, while LCD module 136 constitutes data memory. That is, the LCD module functionally interfaces to the microprocessor as memory, providing an 80-character display data register that is treated by the microprocessor as data memory.

EPROM 134 stores programs to control keypad 122, display 124 (i.e., LCD module 136) and network data communications. The keypad program includes conventional routines for decoding key-struck signals and receiving entered characters, as well as key-debouncing and N-key rollover. The display program includes conventional routines that write characters to and read characters from the display data register in LCD module 136. To that end, the display program provides mode control commands to LCD module 136 that control read/write operations, as well as operations for cursor positioning, backspace and scroll. The network program controls token-ring network communications, including establishing a terminal polling address when the transaction terminal becomes active, detecting POLL tokens addressed to the transaction terminal, building and sending NODATA and TXDATA answers,

and receiving RXDATA tokens containing response data for the transaction.

LCD module 136 is a self-contained liquid crystal display module that includes liquid crystal display 124, and provides many display control functions internally. Display 124 is arranged in two lines of 20 characters each, with the internal 80-character display data register providing 40 characters of display memory for each line. Each line is independently scrolled under control of the LCD module in response to microprocessor mode control commands (for example, when the scroll key on keypad 122 is depressed). In addition to the internal display data register, the LCD module includes an internal control/status register. Logically, these registers are treated as, respectively, data and control/status ports. Data may be read to or written from the data port, while control is written to and status is read from the control/status ~~port~~ port.

From above, the display control program in EPROM 134 provides the various mode control commands that invoke the display control functions implemented by the LCD module. For example, in response to appropriate mode control commands, the LCD module performs the necessary internal operations to move the cursor, output the character under the cursor, write a character in the cursor position, delete a character in the cursor position, clear the display, and output sequentially all characters in the display data register (such as after the enter key is depressed).

Microprocessor 130 provides four input/output ports 0-3. Port 0 is output only, and provides the higher

order address bits A08-A12 over the Address Bus (the 3 higher order bits A13-A15 of the 16-bit Z8 microprocessor address are not used by the transaction terminal). Port 1 is input/output, providing the lower order address bits A00-A07 and receiving 8-bit data bytes over the Address/Data Bus. Port 2 is input only, and is coupled to the column/row matrix lines of the 4 X 4 keypad matrix for keypad 122, i.e., column lines C0-C3 and row lines R0-R3.

Port 3 (0-7) is a multi-purpose input/output port. Pins 0 and 7 are a serial I/O port for an internal UART (universal asynchronous receiver transmitter). Pin 5 is an output drive enable line that controls the transmit/receive state of differential line driver 138. Pin 4 is a data memory DM line used to select either program memory (i.e., EPROM 134) or data memory (i.e., LCD module 136). Pins 1-3 are an I/O port for a credit card reader (not used in the preferred embodiment), and Pin 6 is an output port for a buzzer.

In addition to the four I/O ports, microprocessor 130 provides an address strobe line AS, a data strobe line DS and read/write line R/W.

A clock circuit 131 includes a crystal oscillator that establishes a 7.3728 MHz system clock. The Z8 microprocessor is clocked down (from its 12 MHz specification) to accommodate the LCD module's response time.

Address latch 132 receives the lower order address bits A00-A07 from microprocessor port 1 over the Address/Data Bus during the first address cycle. The address latch is enabled to latch these address bits by a microprocessor address strobe provided through an inverter

142. The latched address bits A00-A07 are available at the output of address latch 132 which is coupled to the Address Bus.

EPROM 134 receives a 12-bit address A00-A12 from the Address Bus. The lower order bits A00-A07 are provided by address latch 132, and are available on the Address Bus during the second address cycle when the higher order bits A8-A12 are provided by microprocessor port 0 over the Address Bus. Thus, EPROM 134 receives the complete 12-bit address A00-A12 from the Address Bus during the second address cycle. The addressed data byte ADO-AD7 is available from the EPROM output port over the Address/Data Bus and may be read when microprocessor 130 provides a data strobe DS to the chip enable CE input to the EPROM.

LCD module 136 includes an I/O port (pins D0-D7) coupled to the Address/Data Bus (lines ADO-AD7). To connect either the display data register or the control/status register to the I/O port, Microprocessor 130 selects either data port operation or control/status port operation with a register select signal provided by the address bit A00 from the Address Bus to the R/S input of the LCD module -- if A00 is even (logic 0), the display data register is connected to the I/O port, and if A00 is odd (logic 1), the control/status register is connected. Read/write operation is selected by R/W signal from microprocessor 130 to the R/W input to LCD module 136.

LCD module 136 is enabled for output over the Address/Data Bus by an enable signal from a NOR gate 146, which receives input from the microprocessor's data strobe DS line and data memory DM line (port 3, pin 4). That is,

LCD module 136 may be read only if both the data strobe and data memory lines are active. In contrast, EPROM 134 is enabled for a read operation only if the data strobe line is active while the data memory line is inactive causing an active output from an inverter 144. In this manner, microprocessor 130 uses the data memory line to select between program memory (EPROM 134) and data memory (LCD module 136).

A potentiometer 148 is used to adjust contrast for the LCD display 124. The potentiometer is connected between the pins +5 volts and ground on LCD module 136, with the potentiometer voltage being applied to the voltage reference pin VREF.

To read instructions from EPROM 134, microprocessor 130 provides a 12-bit address on the Address Bus -- the lower order address bits A00-A07 from port 1 through address latch 132, and the higher order address bits A08-A12 from port 0. EPROM 134 is enabled for output by the data memory line (port 3, pin 4) being held inactive resulting in an active output-enable signal from inverter 144 to the EPROM. Conversely, LCD module 136 is disabled for a read operation because the inactive data memory line insures an inactive signal from NOR gate 146 to the LCD module, thereby insuring that EPROM 134 has exclusive access to the Address/Data Bus. During the read cycle, microprocessor 130 enables EPROM 134 to output the addressed data byte by providing a data strobe DS to the chip-enable input to the EPROM.

To read display data from the display data register in LCD module 136, Microprocessor 130 executes a read display routine in the display control program stored

in EPROM 134. Microprocessor 130 first disables EPROM 134 by holding the data memory line (port 3, pin 4) active, causing the output-enable output from inverter 146 to be inactive. LCD module 136 is then enabled for input/output when a microprocessor data strobe drives active the output from NOR gate 148, which now has both its inputs (DM and DS) active.

Once LCD module 136 has been given access to the Address/Data Bus, a display-data-register read operation is accomplished as follows. Microprocessor 130 outputs from port 1 an LCD mode control byte including a register select bit A00 over the Address/Data Bus. The register select bit is coupled through address latch 132 and the Address Bus to the RS input to LCD module 136 which selects bit is in the C/S state, causing LCD module 136 to select the control/status register for I/O access to the Address/Data Bus. Microprocessor 130 also places its read/write R/W line in the write state, so that the mode control byte can be written into the control/status register. Microprocessor 130 then provides a data strobe DS that enables LCD module 136 to latch the mode control byte from the Address/Data Bus into the control/status register.

In accordance with this mode control command, LCD module 136 places a not-ready status byte in the control status register, makes the designated display character in the display data register available for output on the Address/Data Bus, and then places a ready status byte into the control/status register. Microprocessor 130 switches the read/write line to read (the control/status register is still selected for I/O),

and then provides a data strobe DS to read the status byte in the control/status register. (The microprocessor continually strokes the LCD Module until a ready status byte is returned from the control/status register.)

Microprocessor 130 then outputs a register select bit (A00) that causes LCD module 136 to select the display data register for output. Finally, the microprocessor provides a data strobe to read the first display data character over the Address/Data Bus into port 1.

This procedure --select control/status, read status, select display data, read display data-- is continued until all requested display data characters have been read. That is, microprocessor 130 first reads the status register to determine when LCD module 136 is ready (i.e., when the next display data character is available), and then reads the character.

The procedure by which microprocessor 130 provides display data characters for display by LCD module 136, writing the characters into the display data register, is analogous to the procedure for reading display data characters. Executing a write display routine in the display control program, microprocessor 136 first writes a corresponding mode control command into the control/status register of the LCD module, and then reads status to determine when the LCD module is ready. Microprocessor 130 then selects the display data register, and writes the first display data character over the Address/Data Bus. Microprocessor 130 reads the status register to confirm that the LCD module is ready prior to writing the next display data character. This procedure

of reading the status register and then writing a display data character is continued until all display data characters have been written.

Differential transceiver 138 controls data communications over the network bus 118 connected to connector 140. The RS485 communications protocol is implemented by microprocessor 130 executing the network communications program stored in EPROM 134. Port 3 of microprocessor 130 is used as a communications port, with pins 0 and 7 providing a serial I/O port, and pin 5 providing a transceiver drive enable line through an inverter 152 (the differential transceiver is in the transmit mode if the signal is active, and in the receive mode if the signal is inactive).

On the network side of differential transceiver 138, signal lines 6 and 7 are coupled, respectively, to the network bus signal lines SIG+ and SIG-. These signal lines are coupled to the +12 volt line through opposite sides of a protective diode network 154.

While waiting for a token (either POLL or RXDATA) over the network bus, microprocessor 130 holds the transceiver drive enable line inactive, thereby placing differential transceiver 138 in the receive mode. When a token is received through differential transceiver 138 into the serial I/O port (port 3, pins 0 and 7), microprocessor 138 switches the transceiver drive enable line active and transmits either a TXDATA or NODATA answer via the serial I/O port and the differential transceiver.

Keypad input is accomplished in a conventional manner using a 4 X 4 keypad matrix with column lines C0-C3 and row lines R0-R3. Key-struck decoding is accomplished

as follows. Column lines C0-C3 are normally held high by a resistor network 160, while microprocessor 130 (port 2) holds the row lines R0-R3 low. When a key is struck, the corresponding column line is brought into contact with that key's row line, and the column line is brought low, which is detected by microprocessor 130. The microprocessor then switches the port 2 lines high, and sequentially drops a row line low until the key-struck column line goes low, thereby identifying the key that was struck by its row/column intersection.

Keypad control functions, such as debouncing and N-key rollover are accomplished in a conventional manner using program routines of the keypad control program stored in EPROM 134.

Power for the transaction terminal is provided by a voltage regulator 165 that receives +12 volts from the +12 volt line of the network bus. Voltage regulator 165 provides a stable +5 volt logic level.

A transaction terminal is initialized as follows. At power on, voltage regulator 165 provides a reset signal to microprocessor 130 when the +5 volt logic level is stable. Microprocessor 130 turns port 0 off, so that the Address Bus is controlled by the low-current resistor network 160, which holds the Address Bus lines A08-A12 high.

Microprocessor 130 outputs from port 1 an initialization address over the Address/Data Bus, which is latched into address latch 132 and placed on the Address Bus. EPROM 134 receives the initialization address A00-A12 (with bits A08-A12 being held high by resistor network 160), and makes the addressed instruction

available at its data output port. Microprocessor 130 then reads the first instruction over the Address/Data Bus. Port 0 is turned on, so that resistor network 160 no longer controls the address lines A08-A12 of the Address Bus, and normal operation commences under control of microprocessor 130.

1.4. Multiple-Store Configuration. As shown in FIGURE 1, for businesses with multiple stores, a check transaction processing system 110 is located in each store.

One store is designated as a "host" system, and the other stores are designated as "remote" systems. The host system coordinates the global exchange of check verification data and other customer information, but otherwise operates as a local system for that store in the same manner as the remote systems. Operation as a host does not affect concurrent local operation, i.e., host/remote status is transparent to the check transaction processing operation at each store.

Each store operates relatively autonomously in developing and maintaining its local customer database and providing check transaction processing. However, the stores are also able to globally exchange certain customer information useful to all of the stores, particularly for purposes of check verification. For example, while it is probably unnecessary for the stores to exchange information about customers who typically frequent only a single store and do not present check transaction problems, the stores will probably want to exchange information about customers who have written bad checks at

one or more stores, or who are in a cautionary status as new customers. Such a global exchange of customer information reduces the likelihood that the business will experience a significant loss from a concerted bad check writer.

Each store's customer database is updated with both local and global customer information. Each local check transaction processing system 110, including the designated host system, continually updates its customer database with local customer information, either automatically through processing check transactions or through operator-input of customer status data (such as negative status information). At regular intervals, each remote system transfers to the host selected customer information (such as negative and caution status information). The host updates its customer database with this customer information, and transfers back to each remote system global customer information from all remote systems. Each remote system then updates its customer database with this global customer information.

1.5. Exemplary Components. The detailed specifications for transaction processor 112, and its associated disk storage 114, and network communications interface 116 are not critical to this invention, being a matter of design choice. For the preferred embodiment, transaction processor 112 uses a Western Digital Processor Board Model No. WD286-WDM2 based on the Intel 80286 processor chip. Disk storage unit 114 is a Seagate Technologies Model ST225, and communications interface 116

is Sealevel Systems RS485 Communications Board Model No. SIO-485. The transaction processor runs MSDOS 3.3.

The detailed specification for point-of-sale transaction terminals 120 is not critical to this invention, being a matter of routine design specification. For the preferred embodiment, transaction terminal 120 includes the following components:

Microprocessor 130	Zilog Z8 (86C9112PSC)
Address Latch 132	74HC373
EPROM 134	27C64
LCD Module 136	Optrex DMC16207
4 X 4 Keypad	Standard 4X4 matrix
Diff. Transceiver	75176 (RS485 compatible)
Voltage Regulator	LM2925

Alternative similar point-of-sale units are commercially available, such as from Omron Business Systems Model No. C.A.T. 90.

2.0 Functional Description

As diagrammed in FIGURE 3a, the check transaction processing system performs the following general functions:

- (a) Verification (with Transactional Update) and Query
- (b) Local Status Update
- (c) Global Update
- (d) Event-driven activities

- (e) Customer database purge
- (f) Host/Remote communications

as well as the customer database management operations necessary to support these functions. In addition, certain system information and diagnostic functions are performed.

The verification function involves sending a request for check transaction verification from a point-of-sale transaction terminal to the transaction processor, which performs the necessary database operations to process the request, update the customer database with transactional data (such as frequency and dollar amount) to reflect the current transaction, and return an appropriate response. The local status update function involves continuously inputting customer status changes (particularly to reflect bad check experience) for customers in a store's local customer database. The global update function, for multiple-store systems, involves continuously transferring among the stores selected customer information (preferably caution and negative status information). The purge function involves removing obsolete or unwanted customer records from the customer database based on specified purging criteria. The event-driven activities involve certain database management functions (such as purge and backup), as well as host/remote communications for global update, automatically performed at regular intervals.

2.1. Database Structure. The customer database includes all customer information used and maintained by the check transaction processing system. The customer

database comprises two separate files containing customer information: the customer file and the negative status file. In addition, a system control file contains transactional limits used during check verification and purge limits.

The customer file contains customer records that include the following customer information:

Field	Description
Check ID	Customer checking account number
Verification Status	POSITIVE, NEGATIVE, CAUTION, CASH ONLY, or STOLEN
User Flags	User assigned flags that designate a customer as PREAPPROVED for check transactions regardless of any transactional limits, or as being authorized for check transactions on a MANAGER ONLY approval basis regardless of actual status
Transfer Date/Time	Date/time the customer record was last accessed and updated (written to disk), used in host/remote transfers for global update (transfers from host to remote generally do not affect this date)

Access Date/Time	Last date/time the customer record was accessed and updated (a query function does not change the access date/time)
Status Change Date	Date/time customer status changed (e.g., CAUTION to POSITIVE)
DWT Frequency	Day/Week/Total values for transaction frequency (updated transactionally during check verification and globally)
DWT \$Amount	Day/Week/Total dollar amounts (updated transactionally during check verification and globally)
Previous Status	Customer's previous status (such as CAUTION prior to being rolled POSITIVE)
Frequency Since Transfer	Total number of check transactions since the last global transfer
\$Amount Since Transfer	Total dollar volume since the last global transfer

The file specification for a customer record is set forth in Table 1 at the end of the specification.

The customer file is indexed by (a) check ID, and (b) status/transfer date/check ID.

The preferred intervals for maintaining frequency and dollar amount transactional data are Day/Week/Total, where the day is the current 24-hour period, the week is the previous 7 days, and the total is the total since the customer's first check transaction. The DWT designation will be used throughout this specification to indicate the three separate values for either Frequency or \$Amount. Preferably, DWT Frequency and \$Amounts are maintained on a global basis, so that for those records that have been globally updated (such as NEGATIVE and CAUTION status customer records), the DWT values will be global rather than local. Alternatively, separate local and global DWT transactional data can be maintained in the customer records, as shown in Table 2.

A customer can be assigned one of five check verification status designations:

Status	Description
CAUTION	The customer is a new customer, and a specified check clearance interval since the customer's first check transaction has not passed
NEGATIVE	The customer has one or more outstanding bad checks at any store location

POSITIVE	The specified check clearance interval since the customer's first check transaction has passed, and no bad checks are outstanding at any store location
CASH ONLY	The customer is not authorized to cash checks, even though no bad checks are outstanding
<u>STOLEN</u>	<u>The customer has reported stolen checks</u>

Customer status is assigned during customer record creation, and then updated (transactionally, locally or globally) to reflect changes in customer status, such as due to elapsed time between check transactions or bad check history.

In addition, the local update function can be used to assign to a customer either of the following user flag designations, which override normal status responses to check verification or status query requests:

<u>User Flag</u>	<u>Description</u>
PREAPPROVED	The customer has been pre-approved for check transactions that may otherwise exceed certain transactional limits applied even to customers with POSITIVE status

MANAGER ONLY The customer is not authorized to cash checks without manager approval, even though no bad checks are outstanding

In response to a check verification (or status query) request entered at a transaction terminal, the transaction processor returns a response with either customer status, or if specified transactional limits have been exceeded, a CALL MANAGER directive, unless the PREAPPROVED or MANAGER ONLY user flags in the customers record have been set. Generally, a check transaction will be authorized if the customer has a POSITIVE status or is PREAPPROVED, will require manager approval for MANAGER ONLY regardless of status, and will be refused if customer status is NEGATIVE, CASH ONLY or STOLEN. Check authorization for customers with CAUTION status is a matter of store policy. For example, check authorization can depend upon DWT Frequency or \$Amount, or the type of check transaction (such as amount of purchase only), or upon having the check transaction approved by a store manager.

The CALL MANAGER directive is not a verification status contained in a customer record, but rather, is the response to a verification request if, for any status (including POSITIVE), the current check transaction causes transactional limits specified in the system control file for DWT Frequency and \$Amount to be exceeded.

The negative status file contains negative status records that include the following customer information (by location for multiple store systems):

Field	Description
Check ID	Customer checking account number
Location	The location identification for the store (each store having a NEGATIVE and/or CASH ONLY status history is assigned a separate negative status record)
NEGATIVE Status	Active -- That location has a bad check outstanding Inactive -- That location has no bad checks outstanding
CASH ONLY Status	Active -- That location has designated the customer as CASH ONLY Inactive -- That location has not designated the customer CASH ONLY
Access Date/Time	Last date/time the negative status record was accessed and updated (a query function does not change this date)

NEGATIVE Date/Time	Date/time the status first became NEGATIVE
CASH ONLY Date/Time	Date/time the status first became CASH ONLY
BAD Frequency	Total number of bad checks at that location
BAD \$Amount	Total dollar amount in bad checks at that location

The file specification for a negative status record is set forth in Table 2 at the end of the specification.

The negative status file is indexed by (a) status/check ID/location, and (b) status/access date/check ID/location.

The negative status file supplements the customer file for those customers with a bad check history by recording BAD Frequency/\$Amount by location, and also maintains CASH ONLY status by location.

The system control file includes the following selectable limits:

Limits	Description
CAUTION/POSITIVE	This limit defines a check clearance interval for new customers who will be rolled for check transactions after that interval (assuming the first check is not returned)
CALL MANAGER	Separate DWT limits are provided by status for both Frequency and \$Amount, defining the transactional limits applied to each status
PURGE	Separate Purge limits are specified for each of the five customer status designations; also used to define a Reset/CAUTION interval

The file specification for the system control file is contained in Table 3 at the end of the specification.

These limits are all specified by the user during system configuration. The CALL MANAGER limits are used to override the normal customer status response to a verification request when any DWT Frequency/\$Amount CALL MANAGER limit is exceeded by the current check transaction. As an alternative to using the Purge limits for deleting customer records with a specified (by status)

degree of obsolescence, these limits can be used to roll a POSITIVE or any other status back to CAUTION if the specified Reset/CAUTION interval between check transactions (defined by the corresponding Purge limit) has passed. In addition to these limits, the system control file contains various system information.

The specific design of the customer database, and in particular the file specifications for the customer file, negative status file, and system control file, are not critical to the invention, being a matter of design choice. Any customer database will likely comprise customer records identified by the customer check ID, and include selected transactional/customer information; such as check verification status and transactional frequency and dollar volume over specified intervals.

2.2. Function Codes. The specific functions available in the check transaction processing system are invoked by entering at a transaction terminal a request including an appropriate function code (function key plus code number) and request data (such as check ID and \$Amount).

The specific check transaction processing functions are set forth in Table 4 at the end of the specification, with each function being described in terms of function code, description, keypad input, and keypad

output. These functions are in the following general categories:

Function	Description (Function Code)
Verify	Request check verification status for the current check transaction (F55) (updating the corresponding customer record to reflect the current transaction)
Query	Request information about status (F1), NEGATIVE status and locations (F2, F3, F4) and DWT Frequency and \$Amounts (F5) (the customer database is not updated)
Input Status	Add (F40, F41, F44) and Delete (F60, F61, F62, F63, and F66) the status values CASH ONLY, STOLEN and NEGATIVE, and Add (F42, F43) and Delete (F62, F63) PRE-APPROVED and MANAGER ONLY user flags
Event Activity	Start (F950) and Stop (F951) an event activity, request event time (F952), and request activity status (F953)

System Information	Request certain system information, including memory usage (F902), disk usage (F903), customer file size (F904), negative status file size (F905), CAUTION/POSITIVE roll period (F906, F907), Purge limits (F906, F908-F912), CALL MANAGER limits (F906, F913-F917)
System Diagnostics	Request system diagnostic functions, including log-in/out (F77/F88), keypad debug (F960), modem debug (F970), data manager debug (F980), open/close customer database (F981/F982) and shutdown (F999)

2.3. Verify/Query. The verify function is used both to provide verification status (such as POSITIVE, NEGATIVE or CAUTION) for a check transaction, and to update the transactional data in the customer database. The principal difference between the verify and query functions is that, while both functions retrieve the specified (by check ID) customer record (or in the case of query, the negative status record) to provide an appropriate response, only the verify function actually updates the customer database by writing the updated customer record back to disk.

FIGURE 4 diagrams the check verification function. A check verification function is initiated (202) by entering a verify request (check ID/function

code/\$Amount) from a transaction terminal, which is transmitted to the transaction processor for check transaction processing and to determine the appropriate check verification response.

The transaction processor uses the check ID to search (204) the customer file for a corresponding customer record, which is retrieved (206), or if it doesn't exist, created (208) with a CAUTION status. The customer record is updated (210) by rolling Access Date/Time, Status and DWT Frequency and \$Amount to reflect the current access date/time.

First, the Access Date/Time in the customer record is rolled (212) forward to the date/time for the current check transaction, establishing the transaction interval, i.e. the time elapsed since the customer's last check transaction.

Next, for a given status, the transaction interval is compared (214) with a corresponding selected reset/CAUTION interval -- if the transaction interval is such that the reset/CAUTION interval for the customer's status is exceeded, Status is rolled (215) to CAUTION, and the customer is treated as a new customer from that time. If the customer record has a CAUTION status, the transaction interval is compared (216) with a selected CAUTION/POSITIVE limit defining a check clearance period -- if this check clearance period has passed, the CAUTION status is rolled (217) POSITIVE.

The last roll/update operation is to roll (218) the DWT values for Frequency and \$Amount to reflect the current access date/time.

After the roll/update operation (210) updates the customer record to reflect the current access date/time, the current transactional data are added (220) by incrementing DWT Frequency and adding the transaction \$Amount to the corresponding DWT \$Amount. The DWT transactional data in the updated customer record now reflects the current transaction.

Next, the user flags in the customer record are checked (222) -- if the MANAGER ONLY flag is set, a MANAGER ONLY response is returned (225) regardless of status, while if the PREAPPROVED flag is set, the normal status response (POSITIVE) is returned (226) regardless of any transactional CALL MANAGER limits.

Finally, DWT Frequency/\$Amount are compared (228) with the CALL MANAGER limits for the customer's status to determine whether any of these limits are exceeded. If not, a normal response with the customer's check verification status is returned (226); if any limit is exceeded, a CALL MANAGER response is returned (229).

For the status query function, the same roll/update operation (210) is performed to provide a customer record with updated Access Date/Time, Status and DWT Frequency/\$Amount from which an appropriate status response can be derived. However, the updated customer record is only used to derive the response to the query request -- the updated record is not written back to disk, so the customer database is not updated.

2.4. Local Status Update. Local status update of the customer database is accomplished by inputting

certain status (and user flag) information to reflect bad check experience or store policy.

Status input functions are used to Add or Delete the status values NEGATIVE, CASH ONLY and STOLEN. Typically these functions will involve modifying the Status of an existing customer record and/or negative status record, although new records may be created. In addition, local input functions are used to Add or Delete user flags that designate the customer as PREAPPROVED or MANAGER ONLY.

For multiple store systems, a separate negative status record is kept for each location having a NEGATIVE and/or CASH ONLY status history. Thus, assuming negative status records are transferred during the global update function, each store's negative status file will contain separate negative status records for the various locations, sometimes for the same customer. Generally, a store can only affect through the local update function, negative status records for its location.

For each status input function, the update operation for the customer record includes the roll/update operation described in connection with FIGURE 4 (210) to reflect the current access (update) to the customer record (which is written to disk to update the customer file).

FIGURE 5 diagrams the local status input function for Add/Delete NEGATIVE status. A store uses this operation only for the negative status records for that location, and only when all bad checks have been recovered or otherwise resolved. For the Add NEGATIVE status function, the corresponding negative status record for that location is retrieved or created (230), and

NEGATIVE status is set (232) Active and BAD Frequency/\$Amount is adjusted (233) by adding the current bad check transaction. The corresponding customer record is then retrieved or created (235), and updated by the roll/update operation (238) but with status set (239) to NEGATIVE.

For the Delete NEGATIVE Status function, the corresponding negative status record is retrieved (230), and NEGATIVE Status is set (232) to Inactive and BAD Frequency/\$Amount are set (233) to zero. If that customer has no other bad checks outstanding at any location (i.e., no other negative status records with NEGATIVE Status Active) (236), then the corresponding customer record is retrieved or created (237) and updated by the roll/update operation (238), but with status rolled (239) to its previous state (i.e., prior to becoming NEGATIVE).

For status input functions that Add/Delete CASH ONLY (which status is also kept by location in negative status file), the basic operation is the same as for Add/Delete NEGATIVE except that the BAD Frequency/\$Amount data are unaffected.

For the status input functions that Add/Delete STOLEN, only the customer file need be updated. For the Add STOLEN function, the corresponding customer record is updated in accordance with the roll/update operation, but with status rolled to STOLEN. For the Delete STOLEN function, the corresponding customer record is updated and rolled to CAUTION.

For the user flag input functions that Add/Delete PREAPPROVED or MANAGER ONLY, again, only the corresponding customer record need be updated.

2.5. Global Update. For multiple-store systems, the global update function is used to coordinate the exchange of certain customer information among the individual stores.

Global update is accomplished by file (record) transfers between each remote system and the host system. The host system receives selected customer records and negative status records from each remote, updates its customer database, and then transmits globally updated records back to each of the remotes. Each remote is able to maintain a local customer database, supplemented with selected global customer information deemed to be useful to all stores in the system.

The type of customer information transferred by the global update function is based on store management policies. The recommended approach to exchanging global customer information is as follows:

- (a) Negative Status Records -- All NEGATIVE status records (NEGATIVE or CASH ONLY status) accessed (created or updated) since the last transfer; and

- (b) Customer Records -- All customer records with status values CAUTION, NEGATIVE, CASH ONLY and STOLEN accessed (created or updated) since the last file transfer;

- (c) POSITIVE status records (even those designated MANAGER ONLY) are not recommended for global transfer.

As a result, the local customer database contains negative status records (including NEGATIVE and CASH ONLY status and BAD Frequency/\$Amount) for all store locations

(although each remote system only transfers to the host the negative status records for its location). For those customer records transferred, DWT Frequency/\$Amounts can be maintained either globally or locally and globally. That is, a store may decide not to maintain both global and local transaction data since, for regular customers that primarily frequent that store (i.e., the customers of primary interest) global and local transaction data are essentially the same anyway. On the other hand, a store may want to keep its local transaction data completely separate from the global data attributable to all stores.

The host/remote file transfers that support global update are accomplished automatically through the event/activity function described in Section 2.7. Generally, for each remote system, host/remote file transfer constitutes an activity automatically invoked at predetermined regular event intervals. This procedure insures that the local customer databases are regularly supplemented with globally updated status and other customer information affecting check verification.

A global update session is initiated by a remote system. The remote transmits only those negative status or selected customer records accessed (updated) since the last host/remote file transfer. Since a remote only updates (or creates) negative status records for its location (although negative status records for other locations may be queried), a remote only transfers those local records (but will receive back from the host recently updated negative status records for all locations). And, only those updated customer records meeting the selected status criteria are transferred

(i.e., POSITIVE status records are not transferred, even if designated MANAGER ONLY).

Negative status records are extracted using the index [status/transfer/date/ID/location], while customer records are extracted using the index [status/access date/ID].

FIGURE 6a diagrams the host global update function by which the host system receives recently updated negative status and customer records, and performs a global update of its customer database. For remote negative status records (remote location only), the host retrieves or creates (240) a corresponding host record, and sets (243, 244) host status (NEGATIVE/CASH ONLY, ACTIVE/INACTIVE) and host BAD Frequency/\$Amount equal to the corresponding remote values. For remote customer records, the host retrieves or creates a corresponding host record, and updates existing host records using the roll operation (246). Host and Remote status are compared, and if different, the host assigns status (247) according to predetermined status arbitration criteria. The host then adds (248) the Frequency/\$Amount accumulated at the remote since last transfer to the Host DWT Frequency/\$Amount, and selects (249) the greater of host/remote DWT data as correct, updating the host record accordingly.

After global update of the host customer database, the host transmits to the remote all negative status records and selected customer records accessed (updated) at the host since the previous transfer. Because every remote record transferred to the host caused a corresponding host record to be created or updated, and

therefore accessed, the host-to-remote file transfer necessarily includes all host records corresponding to the remote records transferred to the host during that session. In particular, host negative status records for all locations, meeting the recently accessed transfer criteria, are transferred to the remote. For negative status records from other locations, the remote merely copies (253) the host record (remote location records received from the host are necessarily the same as the remote record). For customer records, the remote first rolls (254) the DWT Frequency and \$Amount. If host DWT Frequency/\$Amount is less than the corresponding remote DWT data (255), the remote rolls (256) access data to insure that the remote record is transferred back to the host during the next global update transfer session (to update the corresponding host record with the greater DWD data); otherwise, the remote selects (257) the host DWT data. That is, the global update function assumes that the greater DWT Frequency/\$Amount is correct. Finally, the remote compares host/remote status, and if different, assigns status (258) according to predetermined status arbitration criteria.

2.6. Purge. The customer database purge function allows a store to orient its customer database toward active customers, stabilizing the database size by deleting certain customer records and negative status records deemed to be obsolete.

During database purge, customer records or negative status records with a given status are read, and the access data/time is compared with the corresponding

purge limit from the system control file. Records not accessed during the interval defined by the purge limit are deleted.

Implementing the purge function is optional as a matter of store policy. For the preferred embodiment, the purge limits are also used to define a reset/CAUTION interval (described in connection with FIGURE 4). If a record is not accessed during that interval, its status is rolled to CAUTION. Thus, the check transaction processing system defaults to the reset/CAUTION operation if the purge function is not operational.

The purge limits are a matter of design selection. The following purge limits are recommended:

CAUTION	90 days
POSITIVE	180 days
NEGATIVE	360 days
CASH ONLY	360 days
STOLEN	360 days

Because customer record status is not rolled automatically from CAUTION to POSITIVE, but only as a result of a transaction in which the access date/time is also rolled current, the customer database maintains an accurate record of CAUTION status for those first-time customers who do not return after the check clearance interval. Those CAUTION status customers who do not return to a store within a reasonable period of time can be eliminated from the customer database. Likewise, POSITIVE status customers who stop transacting business with a store can be eliminated from the active customer database.

Selected purge limits are entered into the system control file during system installation/configuration. If the purge function is selected, performing it automatically as an event-driven activity (described in Section 2.7) is recommended.

2.7. Event/Activities. Event-driven activities are performed automatically by the check transaction processing system to implement certain functions without operator intervention.

The configuration and timing of these activities is a matter of routine design selection. The following event-driven activities, and the associated event intervals, are recommended:

Host/Remote File Transfer	Every 15 minutes
System Backup	Every 10 minutes
Purge	Every 24 hours

In addition, certain report functions can be made automatic as event-driven activities, such as reporting every day all customer records with CAUTION or NEGATIVE status.

The specified event-driven activities and associated event intervals are contained in an event table established during system installation/configuration. These activities are then executed in background at the designated event times without user intervention, and without affecting other foreground functions such as check verification. Once the event table is configured, the various activities may be started or stopped by invoking

appropriate functions from a transaction terminal (functions F950 and F951 in Table 4).

For multiple-store systems, performing the host/remote file transfers necessary for global update on a regular, event-driven basis insures that CAUTION/NEGATIVE status information for check verification purposes is kept current throughout the system. Performing such transfers at relatively short intervals keeps the individual host/remote communications sessions sufficiently short that other functions, such as check verification, are not significantly affected. Moreover, performing host/remote file transfers on a regular basis at short intervals helps guard against fraudulent bad check passing schemes.

Regularly, purging the customer database facilitates database stabilization, and focuses the database on reasonably regular customers. The need for regular, and often, event-driven backup is obvious, and is not burdensome of system computing resources because only those customer records actually updated during the short interval between backup events need be backed up.

2.8 Communications. The communications function is primarily used to support host/remote file transfers for global update in multiple-store systems. In addition, the communications function can be used for remote diagnostic operations.

The communications function is implemented in a conventional manner. Both the implementation of the communications function and the mode of communications

(such as using modem communications over dial up lines) are a matter of routine design selection. Implementing the communications function so as to be essentially transparent to the local operation of the remote and host check transaction processing systems is recommended (see Section 3.6).

2.9. System. Certain system diagnostic and system information functions are available to users of the check transaction processing system.

a ~~invention~~ ^{invention} These system functions are not critical to the ~~inventory~~, but are a matter of routine design selection. The recommended system functions are identified in Section 2.2 and Table 4, and include querying the customer database and system control file, obtaining disk usage and file size information, starting/stopping activities in the event file, and controlling certain keypad and modem configuration functions, as well as controlling certain system level functions such as log-on, log-off, open/close database, debug and system shutdown. In particular, these system functions are useful to store supervisory personnel for querying the customer database and for controlling event-driven activities, and to vendor support personnel for remote diagnostic purposes.

2.10. Risk Management. The check transaction processing system enables a store to adopt a risk management approach to check verification. Specifically, through selection of the CALL MANAGER limits for each status (including POSITIVE) a store has considerable flexibility in adjusting its check authorization policy to

accommodate the different risks presented by different customers, both in terms of bad check risks and recovery risk.

Adopting specific risk management procedures for check verification is a matter of store policy implemented by routine design selection. In addition to selecting the CALL MANAGER transactional limits for each status, the reset/CAUTION interval can be selected to force customers who do not return for that interval into a CAUTION status. Moreover, the user flags -- PREAPPROVED and MANAGER ONLY -- can be used to assign special check verification treatment to selected customers regardless of status or transactional (CALL MANAGER) limits.

Adopting risk management approach to check verification through selecting transactional CALL MANAGER limits enables each store to make a policy decision about the degree of risk the store is willing to take within a given interval. Moreover, this approach can be tailored to the specific business climate of the store in terms of dollar volume, profitability, customer base and management philosophy. By specifying transactional CALL MANAGER limits in terms of status, frequency, dollar amount and transaction interval, the store's risk management approach to check verification can reflect statistical patterns for bad check/recovery risks.

For example, frequency and dollar volume limits are important for the CAUTION status to reduce the risk that a store will be hit by a concerted bad check scheme. (Global update is particularly important in this area.) Depending on past experience with its typical customer, or store policy, a new customer can be restricted in terms of

numbers of checks and/or dollar volume during the selected check clearance interval.

Frequency and dollar volume limits are just as important for the POSITIVE status. A store shouldn't assume any significant risk in terms of dollar volume (either for a current transaction or over a given relatively short interval such as a week) just because a customer has had one or a few checks clear. That is, total historical check transaction frequency is a significant factor in assessing the risk of cashing a given check; both in terms of likelihood that the check is bad and likelihood that a bad check will be recovered.

2.11. Customer Information Reporting. The check transaction processing system allows a store to build and maintain a customer database containing customer information useful for identifying new customers and developing customer profiles, in addition to its use for check verification.

Reporting customer information, such as verification status and DWT Frequency/\$Amounts, is a matter of routine design selection and store policy.

Customer information reports are recommended (a) to identify new customers, and (b) to develop customer profiles, both of which can be used in targeting marketing, advertising and promotional programs, and for other customer relations purposes. Specifically, new customers are identified by regularly reporting customer records with a CAUTION status. Regular customers are identified by reporting customer records based on DWT Frequency data, while the level of a customer's business

is identified by reporting customer records based on DWT \$Amount data. Additional customer information that can be readily collected in the customer records includes zip code and marital status information useful in demographic analysis.

The check transaction processing system permits the customer information contained in the customer database to be collected in an unobtrusive and efficient manner during high volume check transactions.

3.0 PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The various check transaction processing functions described in Section 2.0 are implemented using a check transaction processing system ("CTPS") program executed by the transaction processor.

The CTPS Program must implement several operations in real time:

- (a) transaction terminal network communications, including communicating verification requests and the corresponding responses;
- (b) database operations, including responding to verification requests and updating the customer database;
- (c) event-driven activities, including global update, which must execute in the background while the check verification function is executing; and
- (d) host/remote communications to support global update.

Moreover, while the purge function may be run after-hours as a batch operation, system backup should be executed at regular intervals throughout a business day as an event-driven background activity.

To achieve acceptable performance using a 286-class engine for the transaction processor, the preferred embodiment of the CTPS Program uses a multi-tasking architecture. The various functions performed by the CTPS Program are implemented as separate program tasks executed by the transaction processor in a multi-tasking mode. For the preferred system configuration (described in connection with FIGURE 1), a multi-tasking architecture for the CTPS Program is superior in performance to available alternatives, such as polled interrupts.

3.1. General. As shown in FIGURE 7, the CTPS Program includes various task programs interfaced through a System Kernal. Since the preferred MS/DOS Operating System is not multi-tasking, the System Kernal is required to implement (a) task switching, and (b) intertask communications. In this operating environment, the MS/DOS operating system is used only for disk file I/O, with the System Kernal interfacing functionally to the individual task programs as an operating system.

System Kernal 400 controls task switching, intertask message communications (requests and responses), subtask spawning, and task synchronization using semaphores.

Data Manager Task 500 controls all database operations used in check transaction processing functions

(such as verification with transactional update, query, local status update, global update and purge), executing function requests from the other task programs (such as the Terminal Manager Task and the Event Manager Task) and returning response data.

Terminal Manager Task 700 controls data communications over the transaction terminal network, receiving function requests from the transaction terminals and spawning terminal request subtasks that transmit a request to an executing task (usually the Data Manager Task) and then build an appropriate response from the response data provided by that executing task.

Event Manager Task 800 implements activities designated for automatic execution on an event-drive basis, such as host/remote file transfers for global update, spawning a background event subtask at the specified event time to execute the specified activities.

Modem Manager Task 900 controls telecommunications primarily for host/remote file transfer for global update, but also for remote diagnostic purposes.

In addition to these check transaction processing tasks, a Screen Manager Task 950 and a System Utilities Task 960 are provided for maintenance and diagnostic purposes.

In general, for the Verify/Query and Local Status Update functions, the Terminal Manager Task sequentially polls the transaction terminals which enter and transmit requests, such as:

Verify [Function Code/check ID/Function

Code/\$Amount]

Query [Function Code/check ID]

Add/Delete [Function Code/check ID/Status]

For each terminal request, the Terminal Manager Task spawns a corresponding terminal request subtask that dispatches the request to a corresponding function/request routine, which sends the request to the Data Manager Task. The Data Manager Task executes the request, and notifies the function/request routine (by a semaphore operation) that response data is ready. The function/request routine then builds the appropriate response from the response data, and writes it into the terminal buffer for the requesting terminal. The Terminal Manager Task sends the response to the requesting terminal in the next polling sequence.

For the Global Update function, the Event Manager Task running in a remote system sequences through an event table, and at specified event times and intervals, spawns a corresponding event subtask to execute the global update activities, i.e., send/receive customer records and negative status records. The subtask dispatches to corresponding activity routines, i.e. activities that send/receive customer and negative status records. The send activity routines first request the remote Data Manager Task to retrieve records accessed since the previous global update, and then request the remote Modem Manager Task to transfer those records to the host Data Manager Task for global update. The receive activity routines first send requests for globally updated records through the remote Modem Manager Task to the host Data Manager Task, and then requests the remote Data

Manager Task to globally update the remote customer database using the records returned by the host.

3.2. System Kernal. The System Kernal Program is implemented functionally by a multi-tasking module and a system services module.

The multi-tasking module controls resource allocation through task switching, with multi-task execution being implemented using standard context switching to swap task instructions/data between (a) the program and data memory areas allocated to the task, and (b) the task execution registers (i.e., the program counter, stack and other specified and general purpose registers). To implement intertask communications, the multi-task module allocates for each task data memory areas for request and response data, and maintains a task control block that contains for each task (a) task queues for intertask requests, and (b) semaphore flags.

The system services module implements intertask communications through calls to the multi-task module. For intertask communication, the system services module implements semaphore operations on the allocated semaphore flags in the task control block.

Functionally, the System Kernal interfaces to the various task programs that comprise the CTPS Program as a multi-tasking operating system. The Kernal performs four principal operations that establish a multi-tasking environment for the check transaction processing system:

- (a) task switching;
- (b) task control block management for task queues and semaphores;

- (c) intertask communication of task requests/responses using the task control block and allocated data areas; and
- (d) spawning subtasks.

a The first two operations are performed by the multi-tasking module, while the second two operations are performed by the system services module. In addition, the System Kernal manages the system control file, and performs diagnostic and system utility operations (these operations being implemented by the system services module).

The specific program implementation of the System Kernal is not critical to this invention, being a matter of routine design specification. Indeed, as described in Section 4.0., the System Kernal can be replaced with a commercially available multi-tasking operating system.

For the preferred embodiment, the multi-tasking module is implemented with a commercially available program, Time Slicer from Life Boat Systems. Time Slicer provides a conventional multi-tasking environment, including task switching (context switching) and task control block management (request queues and semaphore flags). These multi-tasking operations are implemented in a conventional manner. Alternative multi-tasking modules are commercially available.

b At system initialization, the System Kernal allocates the task control block (queues and ^{semaphores} ~~semaphomes~~ flags) and the data areas for the various tasks. Thereafter, the System Kernal receives service requests

from a requesting task addressed to a responding task and written into the System Kernal's request queue.

The requesting task builds a service request in the following format

```

    responding task ID
    requesting task ID
    function code
    address of request data
    address for response data
    stop semaphore

```

a

The function code is one of the function codes set forth in Table 4. The addresses for the request and response data are data memory locations allocated to the requesting task.

FIGURE 8 diagrams the intertask communication and subtask call functions implemented by the System Kernal. The System Kernal continually monitors (402) the request queue, executing service requests on a first-in first-out basis. The system kernal first determines (404) whether the next-in-line request is a service request or a subtask request from a requesting task, or a stop request (indicating request execution completed) from a responding task.

In the case of an intertask service request, the system kernal builds (410) a corresponding intertask packet, and writes (412) the packet into the responding task queue in the task control block. In the case of subtask request (which includes the subtask file name), the System Kernal spawns (414) the specified subtask (which typically executes the called function using intertask service requests). In the case of a stop

request from a responding task, the System Kernal sets (416) the specified semaphore flag in the task control block, notifying the requesting task that request execution is complete and response data is ready.

The intertask request packet built by the System Kernal is in the following format:

- requesting task ID
- function code
- address of request data
- address for response data
- semaphore flag

That is, the intertask request packet includes the same information as contained in the service request from the requesting task, but without the responding task ID. That identification is unnecessary since each task is assigned a specific allocation of address space for its task queue and semaphore flags in the task control block, and for its data area. The stop request is the intertask request packet, which the System Kernal recognizes as a stop request when it appears in its request queue.

In general, intertask request execution is accomplished as follows: Each task monitors its task queue in the task control block. If the task queue does not contain a request, the task continues executing internal functions. When an intertask request packet is written into a task queue by the System Kernal (in response to a service request), the responding task reads the packet from the queue. The responding task decodes the request packet, and dispatches the request to an execution routine (either directly or by first spawning a subtask that handles dispatching). This execution routine

reads the request data located in the requesting task's data area at the address specified in the intertask request packet, and executes the requested function using the request data. After request execution, the execution routine provides a response by writing response data to the specified address in the requesting task's data area, and sends a stop request (which is the intertask request packet) to the System Kernel indicating that request execution is complete and response data is ready. The System Kernel executes the stop request by setting the specified semaphore flag.

For example, in the case of a verification request entered at a transaction terminal, the Terminal Manager Task spawns (through the System Kernel) a terminal request subtask. The terminal request subtask dispatches to a verification/request routine that sends a verification request through the System Kernel to the Data Manager Task. The Data Manager Task reads from its task queue the verification request (i.e. the intertask verification/request packet), and determines that a verification function is requested. The Data Manager Task dispatches the request to an verification execution routine that reads the request data (check ID and \$Amount) from the specified request data address, and performs the necessary customer database operations, including retrieving or creating a corresponding customer record and updating status and transactional data (DWT Frequency and \$Amount) to reflect the current transaction. The execution routine then writes the updated customer record to the specified response data address, and sends a stop request (i.e., the intertask request packet) to the System

Kernal. The System Kernal sets the specified semaphore flag, and the terminal request subtask reads the customer record and builds an appropriate response that is sent to the terminal by the Terminal Manager Task.

3.3. Data Manager Task. The Data Manager Task manages the customer database, maintaining the customer record file and negative status record file, and the related indices. The Data Manager Task controls all database operations for check transaction processing functions (such as verify/query and local and global update) and customer database management functions (such as backup and purge), including record creation, retrieval, modification and deletion.

The check transaction processing functions performed by the Data Manager Task are, generally:

- (a) Verify (with Transactional Update)
- (b) Query
- (c) Local Status Update
- (d) Global Update (Host and Remote)

The verify, query, and local status update functions are invoked from a transaction terminal. The global update function is an activity invoked by the Event Manager Task.

For the preferred embodiment, the Data Manager Tasks interfaces to the disk files (i.e., the customer, negative status and system control files) through a commercially available library of database management routines, C-Tree from Faircom Software. The C-Tree library, in turn, uses the MS/DOS File System (DFS) to handle disk file I/O. The configuration of those routines to operate with the Data Manager Task and the MS/DOS DFS

is a matter of routine design specification. Other such libraries of database management routines are commercially available.

At system initialization, the Data Manager Task opens the customer and negative status files, and a password file (used for supervisor functions requiring a password).

FIGURE 9a is a program flow diagram for the Data Manager Task. The Task continually monitors (502) its task queue for requests (intertask request packets) written into the queue by the system kernel. These requests primarily involve database operations in connection with check transaction processing functions, and are received from the Terminal Manager Task (Verify/Query and Local Status Update) and the Event Manager Task (Global Update, Purge and Backup). Some requests involve system diagnostic or information requests such as for disk or database information (see Section 2.2).

If no requests are in the Data Manager Task queue, it executes internal functions (503). When the Task receives a request, it performs the following operations:

- (a) reading (506) a function request packet from the task queue;
- (b) decoding (506) the function code; and
- (c) dispatching (508) the function request to a corresponding function execution routine.

The function execution routine executes the function, performing the necessary database operations, and upon completion, writes appropriate response data into the

location specified by the requesting task, and then sends a stop request (the intertask request packet) to the system kernal.

The various functions identified in FIGURE 9A -- Verify (510), Host Global Update (Negative Status) (600), Host Global Update (Customer) (630), and Remote Global Update (660) -- are representative of the check transaction processing functions performed by the CTP Program. These functions, and the associated execution routines, are described in detail in connection with FIGURES 9B-9H.

FIGURE 9B is a program flow diagram for the Verify routine in the Data Manager Task. After receiving and decoding the appropriate intertask request packet from the Terminal Manager Task, the Data Manager Task dispatches (508) to the Verify Execution Routine 510.

The Verify routine reads (512) the verification request data (check ID and \$Amount) from the request data location specified in the intertask request packet. The customer database is searched (514) using the check ID, and the corresponding customer record is retrieved (515) or created (516) with status set to CAUTION and DWT Frequency and \$Amount set to zero.

The Verify routine then calls (520) a roll routine that updates status and transactional data in the record to reflect the current access date/time. The Data Manager Task does not independently update customer records to make status and DWT Frequency/\$Amount reflect a current date/time. Rather, the customer records are updated in real time as they are accessed, such as during execution of verify and update functions. Because this

roll/update operation is used by many of the function execution routines in the Data Manager Task, a separate routine is provided and called by these routines.

FIGURE 9c is a program flow diagram for the roll routine. The routine first rolls (522) the Access Date/Time in the customer record to the current date, and then calculates (524) the transaction interval, ie. the elapsed time since the customer's previous check transaction.

The purge limit for the customer's status is read (526) from the system control file and compared (528) with the transaction interval. If the transaction interval exceeds the purge limit, a status roll subroutine is called (530) and instructed to roll the status of the customer record to CAUTION. (This reset/CAUTION operation provides a default alternative to the purge function which would delete those customer records with access dates that exceed the corresponding status purge limit.)

Next, the roll routine determines whether, for customer records with a CAUTION status, the predetermined check clearance period defined by the CAUTION/POSITIVE limit has passed. If the customer status is CAUTION (532), then the CAUTION/POSITIVE limit is read (534) from the system control file and compared (536) with the status change date, i.e., the date on which the customer became a CAUTION, either because of an initial check transaction or because of a roll to CAUTION (such as through the reset/CAUTION procedure in 526, 528 and 530). If the period during which the customer has been a CAUTION exceeds the CAUTION/POSITIVE period, then the status roll

subroutine is called (537) and instructed to roll customer status to POSITIVE.

The roll routine then rolls (538) the DWT totals for both Frequency and \$Amount to reflect the current access date.

The customer record is now updated to the current access date, the roll routine having rolled/updated the Access Date/Time, Status and DWT Frequency and \$Amount.

The status roll subroutine is called when any function routine rolls customer status from one value to another. As part of the call instruction, the status roll subroutine receives a new status, CAUTION in the case of the reset/CAUTION operation. Program state-logic then determines whether the roll is allowable according to specified roll state-logic: (a) if allowed, status is rolled to the specified new status; or (b) if not allowed, status is rolled to an allowable status value, or is not rolled, in accordance with the roll state-logic. The status roll subroutine then rolls the status change date in the customer record to the current date (if the subroutine effected a change in status). Thus, for customer records in which the transaction interval exceeds the status purge limit, the customer record is modified to reflect a CAUTION status with a corresponding status change date.

The roll routine returns (539) to the calling routine, in this case, the Verify routine in FIGURE 9b. The verify routine adds (540) to the roll/updated customer record the current transaction by incrementing DWT Frequency and adding the current \$Amount to the DWT

\$Amount. The customer record is now updated to reflect both the current access date and the current transaction. The updated customer record (with its transfer date updated current) is written (542) to disk, to update the customer database.

The updated customer record constitutes the response data for the verify request, and the Verify routine writes (544) the record into the response data location specified in the intertask request packet.

Finally, the Verify routine sends (546) a stop request to the System Kernal. The stop request comprises the intertask request packet received from the System Kernal by the Data Manager Task. The appearance of this packet in the Kernal's service request queue notifies the Kernal that request execution by the verify routine is complete. In response to the stop request, the System Kernal sets the semaphore flag specified in the intertask request packet to notify the Terminal Manager Task that the verification request is complete, and the response data is in the specified location.

The query function is used to query the customer database, and retrieve an updated customer record or updated negative status record from which the desired information may be extracted. For each query function, the Data Manager Task dispatches to a corresponding query execution routine that retrieves and updates the requested customer record or negative status record. The essential difference between the query routines and the verify routine is that no current check transaction data is involved, and the updated record is not written to disk to update the customer database.

For example, in the case of a query for customer information (such as status and/or DWT transactional data), the Data Manager Task dispatches the intertask query request packet to the corresponding Query execution routine. The routine reads the check ID from the specified location for the request data, and initiates a search of the customer record file. If no corresponding customer record is found, the query routine returns an error message response. If a corresponding customer record is retrieved, the Query routine calls the roll routine to update Access Date/Time, Status and DWT Frequency/\$Amount. The roll/updated customer record is written to the specified location for the response data, and a stop request is sent to the System Kernal. The Query routine does not update the customer database by writing the updated customer record back to disk.

In addition to updating the customer database in real time through the verification operation, the Data Manager Task also implements the following local status update functions:

- Add/Delete NEGATIVE
- Add/Delete CASH ONLY
- Add/Delete STOLEN
- Add/Delete PREAPPROVED
- Add/Delete MANAGER ONLY

These functions are used to input customer status and user flag information.

For multiple store systems, negative status records are kept by location, i.e. each location creates a negative status record for any customer with NEGATIVE or CASH ONLY status at that location. Global Update causes

the negative status file at each location to contain negative status records for each location (assuming negative status records are selected for global update). Each location can access through the Add/Delete NEGATIVE and CASH ONLY functions only those negative status records for its location. The query function can be used to query negative status records from other locations.

FIGURE 9d is a program flow diagram for the add NEGATIVE local status update function. After receiving and decoding the appropriate intertask request packet from the Terminal Manager Task, the Data Manager Task dispatches (508) to the Add NEGATIVE execution routine (550).

The Add NEGATIVE routine reads (551) the request data (check ID/location/\$Amount) from the location specified in the intertask request packet. The negative status file is searched (552) for a corresponding negative status record, which is either retrieved (553) or created (554). If NEGATIVE status is Inactive (556), the status roll subroutine is called (557) and instructed to roll to Active. The current bad check data is then added (558) to the BAD Frequency and \$Amount totals for that location. The routine then writes (559) the updated negative status record into the negative status file.

The customer file is searched (560) for the specified customer record, which is either retrieved (561) or created (562). The roll routine is called (564) to roll/update the customer record (Access Date/Time, Status and DWT Frequency/\$Amount) as described in connection with FIGURE 9c. After roll/update, the status roll subroutine is called (566) and instructed to roll customer status

NEGATIVE. The updated customer record (with its transfer date updated current) is then written (568) into the customer file.

After the add NEGATIVE function is accomplished, a confirmation response is written (570) into the specified response data location, and a stop request is sent (572) to the System Kernal (which sets the specified semaphore flag).

FIGURE 9e is a program flow diagram for the delete NEGATIVE function. After receiving and decoding the appropriate intertask request packet from the Terminal Manager Task, the Data Manager Task dispatches (508) to the Delete NEGATIVE execution routine (580).

For multiple-store systems, the Delete NEGATIVE function is used according to the following criteria: (a) it is only used to delete NEGATIVE status for the location requesting the delete NEGATIVE function; i.e., to change NEGATIVE status from Active to Inactive only in the negative status record for that location; and (b) it is only used if all bad checks for that location have been paid off or otherwise resolved. Thus, each location can only affect its own negative status record -- the global update function is used to distribute negative status records among all locations.

The Delete NEGATIVE routine reads (581) the request data (check ID/location) from the location specified in the intertask request packet. The negative status file is searched (582), and the negative status record for that location is retrieved (584), if it exists. The status roll subroutine is called (586) to roll NEGATIVE status from Active to Inactive. The BAD

Frequency and \$Amount data are then deleted (587) indicating that all bad checks have been paid or otherwise resolved.

Next, the routine determines (590) whether another negative status record exists for that customer, i.e., whether the customer has a NEGATIVE status active at other locations. If the negative status file contains no other negative status records for the customer, the customer file is searched to retrieve (592) the corresponding customer record. The roll routine is then called (594) to roll/update the customer record as described in connection with FIGURE 9c, and the status roll subroutine is called to roll status to the previous status (i.e., the customer's status prior to becoming a NEGATIVE). The updated customer record (with its transfer date updated current) is then written (596) to the customer file.

After the delete NEGATIVE function is accomplished, a confirmation response is written (597) to the specified response data address, and a stop request is sent (598) to the System Kernal (which sets the specified semaphore flag).

The routines that Adding/Delete CASH ONLY operate analogously to the Add/Delete NEGATIVE routine because CASH ONLY is also maintained by location in a negative status record. These routines function in accordance with FIGURES 9d and 9e, except that transaction data (BAD Frequency/\$Amount) is not involved (i.e., step 558 is unnecessary).

The routines that Add/Delete STOLEN affect only the customer file. Thus, these routines read the

specified request data (check ID/status), and either retrieve or, for the add routine, create a corresponding customer record. The customer record is updated using the roll routine, and then rolled to STOLEN (add function) or to CAUTION (delete function) using the status roll subroutine. The updated customer record is written to the customer file, and a confirmation response is written to the specified response data location. The routine terminates with a stop request sent to the System Kernal.

The routines that Add/Delete PREAPPROVED and MANAGER ONLY operate to set/clear the corresponding user flags in the customer record in a manner analagous to the Add/Delete STOLEN routine. That is, these routines roll/update the corresponding customer record, set/clear the specified user flag, and then provide an appropriate confirmation response.

For the global update function, the host Data Manager Task receives negative status and selected customer records from all the remote systems, and executes a host global update fundtion. Host negative status and selected customer records are then sent to the remote Data Manager Task which executes a remote global update function. The global update function is implemented by the remote Event Manager Task which executes a global update event/activity (see Section 3.5).

The criteria for selecting records for transfer in connection with global update are:

- (a) Negative Status File -- All records accessed since the previous host/remote file

transfer for global update (NEGATIVE or CASH ONLY status); and

(b) Customer File -- All customer records accessed since the previous host/remote file transfer for global update, and having a status value of CAUTION, NEGATIVE, CASH ONLY or STOLEN.

Since a remote location only accesses (updates) the negative status records for its location, each remote only transfers to the host negative status records for its location. The host global update function necessarily accesses each negative status record transferred by a remote during a global update session, so that all such records are transferred back to each remote (along with the host location negative status records that were accessed as a result of local host operation.

FIGURE 9f is a program flow diagram for the host global update function for the negative status file. After receiving and decoding the appropriate intertask request packet (containing the global update request from the remote Event Manager Task), the host Data Manager Task dispatches (508) to the Host Global Update (Negative Status) execution routine 600.

For each negative status record received (602) from a remote location, the host searches (604) its negative status file for a corresponding negative status record for that remote location. If it does not exist, the remote record is copied (607).

If a corresponding host record is retrieved (606), the host NEGATIVE status (Active or Inactive) is replaced (608) with the remote NEGATIVE status from the remote negative status record, and the host BAD

Frequency/\$Amount is replaced (610) with the remote BAD Frequency/\$Amount. The Access Date/Time is then rolled (612) current.

The updated (or copied) host negative status record for the remote location is written (614) to the negative status file, and the negative status file is searched (616) to determine if it contains any NEGATIVE status Active records for that customer for any locations (including the remote negative status record just processed).

If not (i.e., if NEGATIVE status for that customer is Inactive at all locations), the corresponding customer record is retrieved (618) from the customer file. The record is updated by the roll routine (620), and rolled to previous status (622). The updated customer record (with its transfer date updated current) is then written (624) back to the customer file.

The Global Update (Negative Status) routine terminates with stop request sent (626) back to the requesting remote Event Manager Task (see Section 3.5).

FIGURE 9g is a program flow diagram for the host global update function for the customer file. After receiving and decoding the appropriate intertask request packet (containing the global update request from the remote Event Manager Task), the host Data Manager Task dispatches (508) to the Host Global Update (Customer) execution routine 630.

For each customer record received from the remote (632), the host searches (634) its customer file. If a corresponding customer record does not exist, one is

created (636) with the local DWT Frequency/\$Amount set to zero.

If a corresponding host customer record is retrieved (635), it is updated (638) in accordance with the roll routine in FIGURE 9c. If status is CAUTION, POSITIVE or STOLEN, the status for the updated host customer record is compared (640) with the status for the remote customer record. If status is different, the host assigns (642) status in accordance with predetermined arbitration rules, and updates its customer record accordingly. (If either host or remote status is NEGATIVE, global update is accomplished through the Global Update routine for negative status records.)

The host updates DWT Frequency/\$Amount in the host customer record by adding (644) to the host DWT Frequency and \$Amount the Transfer Frequency and \$Amount totals from the remote customer record, and then selecting (646, 648, 649) the greater of the host or remote DWT Frequency/\$Amount totals.

Finally, the host customer file is updated by writing (650) the host customer record (with its transfer date updated current) to disk, and a stop request is sent (652) to the remote Event Manager Task.

Once the host has completed updating its negative status file (FIGURE 9f) and its customer file (FIGURE 9g) for each negative status and customer record transferred by the remote, the remote then requests that the host transfer to the remote the host negative status and selected customer records that have been accessed since the previous transfer. That is, the same criteria that the remote used in selecting records for transfer are

used to select host records for transfer back to the remote.

a Since, for each remote record transferred to the host, the host performs an update operation that changes Access Date/Time, the host-to-remote file transfer will necessarily result in all such updated records being retransmitted back to the remote. In addition, the host will transfer to the remote NEGATIVE status and selected customer records accessed and updated by the host during either (a) local-host verification or update operations, or (b) a host global update operation initiated by another remote.

The remote receives the negative status and customer records transferred from the host, and performs a global update of its customer database. As described in Section 3.5, the remote Event Manager Task requests host records from the host Data Manager Tasks, and then sends them to the remote Data Manager Task with a global update request.

u The remote global update function for the negative status file is based on two criteria: (a) for remote-location negative status records, the remote record is assumed to be correct and the ~~remote~~ ^{host} record is ignored; and (b) for other-location negative status records, the host record is assumed to be correct and it is copied without any update or other access by the remote. After receiving and decoding the appropriate intertask request packet (containing the global update request for the host negative status record from the remote Event Manager Task), the remote Data Manager Task dispatches to the

Remote Global Update (Negative Status) execution routine that implements these global update operations.

FIGURE 9H is a program flow diagram for the remote global update function for the customer file. After receiving and decoding the appropriate intertask request packet (containing the global update request from the remote Event Manager Task), the remote Data Manager Task^{Task} dispatches (508) to the Remote Global Update (customer) execution routine (660).

For each customer record received (662), the remote determines (664) whether it has a corresponding customer record, and if not, creates (666) one with the local DWT Frequency and \$Amount data set to zero. An existing remote customer record is retrieved (665), and DWT Frequency/\$Amount rolled (668) current. The remote then compares (670) its global DWT Frequency/\$Amount with the corresponding totals from the host customer record, and if the remote totals are greater, rolls (672) the Access Date/Time current. Updating the Access Date/Time for the customer record insures that that record will be transferred back to the host during the next remote/host file transfer session. If the host transactional data is greater, then the Access Date/Time is not changed.

If status is CAUTION, POSITIVE or STOLEN, the status for the updated remote customer record is compared (674) to the host customer record status, and if different, the remote assigns (675) status in accordance with predetermined arbitration rules. (If either host or remote status is NEGATIVE, global update is accomplished through the host global update function for negative status records.)

The updated customer record (with its transfer date updated current) is written (676) to the customer file, and a stop request is sent (678) to the host System Kernal.

The arbitration rules used by the host during global update to assign status (642 in FIGURE 9G and 675 in FIGURE 9H) for customer records in the case of a conflict between host and remote status are a matter of design choice and routine program implementation. The recommended criteria for specifying arbitration rules are (a) where either the host or the remote indicates POSITIVE and the other indicates CAUTION, the POSITIVE status value is selected; (b) where either the host or the remote indicates STOLEN, the STOLEN status is selected; and (c) NEGATIVE status is not arbitrated.

u The database operations associated with purge and backup are also handled by the Data Manager Task. These functions are implemented as event activities by the Event Manager Task. In response to requests ^{from the} ~~from the~~ corresponding event activity routine, the Data Manager Task retrieves the specified records and processes them in accordance with conventional record delete (purge) or copy (backup) operations. Thus, for backup, the Event Manager Task provides a backup key [status/access date/time], and all records accessed since the last backup are copied to a backup file. For purge, a purge routine operates analogously to the roll routine (FIGURE 9c) in reading purge limits from the system control file and comparing them against a purge interval defined by the last access date/time, deleting (or copying off-line) those records that meet the predetermined purge criteria.

3.4. Terminal Manager Task. The Terminal Manager Task manages the communication of requests/responses between the transaction terminals and the transaction processor, implementing a token ring local area network. In implementing token ring data communications, the Terminal Manager Task sequentially polls each transaction terminal using the token ring protocol described in Section 1.2.

When request data (such as check ID/\$Amount) are entered into a transaction terminal, the transaction terminal responds to its next POLL token by transmitting TXDATA answer packet including the request to the Terminal Manager Task, which writes the request data into the corresponding terminal buffer.

For each request received from a transaction terminal, the Terminal Manager Task spawns a terminal request subtask that:

- (a) Builds a System Kernal service request for the request entered into the transaction terminal;
- (b) Sends the service request to the responding task through the System Kernal;
- (c) Receives response data from the responding task;
- (d) Builds the appropriate response from the response data; and
- (e) Sends the response to the transaction terminal.

The responding task depends upon the request function code entered into the terminal. (See Section 2.2) Most of the request functions are for the Data Manager Tasks because

they involve customer database access. However, requests to the other tasks for diagnostic or system information can be made from a transaction terminal.

At system initialization, the Terminal Manager Task: (a) Initializes the 32-port network communications interface (116 in FIGURE 1A); (b) Allocates TXBUFFER, RXBUFFER and LASTDATA terminal buffers for each of 32 allowable terminals; and (c) Allocates two poll state flags, Poll/Data and Wait, for each of 32 allowable terminals. The TXBUFFER buffer holds TXDATA transmitted by the terminal, while the RXBUFFER buffer holds RXDATA to be sent to the terminal. The LASTDATA buffer contains selected data from the last request transmitted by or the last response received by the terminal (used to hold data that might be used in the next terminal request).

For the preferred embodiment, no attempt is made to deallocate terminal buffers/flags for those communications ports that do not have an active, on-line transaction terminal. This design choice does not require any significant memory allocation for the 32-terminal configuration of the preferred embodiment. Such deallocation procedures are a matter of routine program implementation.

FIGURE 10A is a program flow diagram of the token ring network communication function implemented by the Terminal Manager Task.

The Terminal Manager Task continually monitors (702) its task queue, which is maintained by the System Kernal. Through the System Kernal, system and diagnostic requests can be written into the queue for execution by the Terminal Manager Task. That is, in response to a TMT

request (such as a system diagnostic or system information request) written into its queue, the Terminal Manager Task calls (703) a corresponding routine that executes the request.

If no TMT request has been written into the task queue, the Terminal Manager Task begins a token polling sequence (704, 706).

A token polling sequence is accomplished by sequencing through the terminal addresses 0-31. During each polling sequence the Terminal Manager Task polls all 32 ports without regard to whether a port has an active, on-line transaction terminal coupled to it, provided however, that an active terminal in a Wait state (i.e., waiting to receive requested data) is not polled.

The Event Manager Task makes no attempt to segregate active and inactive communications ports, or to remove from the polling sequence terminal addresses not assigned to active, on-line transaction terminals. This design choice does not significantly impact network communications for the 32 terminal configuration of the preferred embodiment. An active-terminal-only pulling scheme would be a matter of routine program implementation.

Terminal addresses are determined as follows. During each polling sequence, the Terminal Manager Task polls each of the 32 ports -- beginning with Port 0, a POLL token (including the corresponding terminal address between 0 and 31) is broadcast and the Task waits until either (a) an answer packet is received, or (b) a time-out period transpires, before sending the next POLL. When a transaction terminal signs on, its internal network

communication software causes an [ENTER TERMINAL ID] message to be displayed. The terminal operator is supposed to enter a number between 0 and 31 that is uniquely assigned to that terminal; however, the internal software processes the terminal ID entry using ^{module} ~~module~~ 31, so that any numeric entry is forced into the 0-31 range.

For each terminal address the Terminal Manager Task determines (710) the polling state of the corresponding transaction terminal -- Poll, Wait, or Data.

If the terminal is in the Poll state, the Terminal Manager Task sends (712) a POLL token for that transaction terminal (i.e., a token that includes the corresponding terminal address). The POLL is received by the addressed terminal, and recognized as an invitation to transmit data. The polled terminal transmits either a TXDATA answer (including request data) or a NODATA answer. If a NODATA answer is returned (714), the Terminal Manager Task continues with the polling sequence. If the polled terminal transmitted request data in TXDATA answer (715), the Terminal Manager Task writes (716) the request data into the corresponding terminal buffer, sets (718) the terminal Wait state flag, and spawns (720) a terminal request subtask to execute the request, and then continues the polling sequence.

During execution of the request, while the requesting terminal is in the "Wait" state, the Terminal Manager Task does not poll that terminal, but rather, continues with the polling sequence.

Once a request has been executed and the response data placed in the terminal buffer for the requesting transaction terminal, the request subtask sets

the terminal Data state flag. During the next poll sequence, the Terminal Manager Task reads (722) the response from the terminal buffer and sends (724) an RXDATA token that includes the response.

When the token poll sequence is completed (i.e., terminal address 31), the task queue is checked (702) to determine whether any system or diagnostic TMT requests have been written into the queue. If not, a new polling sequence is commenced (704).

When the operator enters the terminal ID, the network software watches for that terminal address -- when a POLL with that address is received, the network software waits for a time-out to determine whether another terminal has that address. If not, the network software grabs the next POLL with that address and commences normal network communications.

For the preferred embodiment, the POLL token is one byte (0-7):

Bit 7	Token Flag (set if POLL token; otherwise clear)
Bits 5-6	TX-POLL token RX-RXDATA token
Bits 0-4	Terminal address

All data communications over the network are in 7 bit ASCII (0-6), so that only the POLL token uses bit 7. The answer packets are also one byte:

Bit 7	Not used
Bits 0-6	TXDATA NODATA

The TXDATA byte is followed by up to 40 characters of data in 7-bit ASCII (0-6), with a single END of data byte (ASCII carriage return). Finally, the RXDATA token [Token Flag Set/RX/Terminal Address] is followed by up to 40 characters of data, with the next POLL token designating END of data.

Thus, in operation, a transaction terminal watches the network for its POLL token (with its terminal address). When its POLL is received it sends back either a NODATA answer byte, or a TXDATA byte followed by up to 40 characters of data terminated in an END character. If the terminal is waiting for response data, so that it has been placed in a Wait state, it will not receive a POLL token. When response data is available, the Terminal Manager Task will retrieve the data from the terminals' RXBUFFER and transmit it with the next TXDATA token.

This implementation for a token ring network is a matter of design choice. Other implementations are a matter of routine program design. Commercial token ring program packages are available.

To execute a request sent by a transaction terminal during a polling sequence, the terminal request subtask first determines which function is requested, and then dispatches to an appropriate service request routine that:

- (a) Builds a service request;
- (b) Sends the service request to the responding task (via the System Kernal);
- (c) Builds an appropriate response from the response data returned by the responding task; and
- (d) Writes the response into the appropriate terminal buffer.

In addition, for a verify request, the verify service request routine determines whether any "CALL MANAGER" limits have been exceeded, and if so, causes the "CALL MANAGER" response to be returned to the terminal.

From Section 3.2, a service request is in the following format:

- Requesting task ID
- Responding task ID
- Function code
- Address of request data location
- Address for response data location
- Semaphore flag

The service request is sent to the System Kernal, which builds a corresponding intertask request packet.

The responding task that executes the request depends upon the function code. Of course, most function codes will be executed by the Data Manager Task because they involve accessing in some way the customer database.

After execution of the request, the response data returned by the responding task depends upon the request function code. The Data Manager Task returns updated customer or negative status records in response to

verify/query requests and confirmations in response to local status update functions and global update functions.

Exemplary terminal request subtask operation is described in connection with a verify request in which the responding task is the Data Manager Task.

FIGURE 10B is a program flow diagram for a terminal request subtask that implements a verification or query status request, to which the response from the Data Manager Task is an updated customer record. The subtask first reads (732) the TXBUFFER terminal buffer for the transaction terminal, parses (734) the request data to identify the function code (verify) and the other request data (check ID and \$Amount).

The subtask then dispatches (736) the request to a verify service request routine specified by the verify function code.

a The service request subroutine builds (740) an appropriate service request addressed to the Data Manager Task ^{the} (responding task), which is sent (742) to the System Kernal.

The terminal request subtask then suspends execution and monitors (744) the semaphore flag specified in the service request. The semaphore flag is set by the System Kernal in response to a stop request from the Data Manager Task, indicating that the request has been executed and response data (a customer record) written to the response data location specified in the service request.

The terminal request subtask then reads (746) the response data, and builds an appropriate response for the requesting terminal. For the verify (and query

status) requests, the corresponding service request routine builds a response from the customer record (response data) only after testing (750) corresponding user flags and CALL MANAGER limits. These user flag and CALL MANAGER operations are not required for other function service requests (such as query negative, local status update or global update).

The first operation in building an appropriate verification response from the customer record returned by the Data Manager Task is to test the MANAGER ONLY flag (752). If that flag is set, the verify service request routine builds (754) a MANAGER ONLY response regardless of customer status, and without testing any CALL MANAGER limits.

If the MANAGER ONLY user flag is clear, the next operation is to test the PREAPPROVED flag (756). If the flag is set, and customer status is POSITIVE (758), a normal (i.e. PREAPPROVED) response is built (762) without regard to any CALL MANAGER limits. If customer status is other than POSITIVE, the PREAPPROVED flag is ignored and CALL MANAGER limits are tested.

After testing the user flags, the next operation in building a response for a verify request is to test the CALL MANAGER limits (760) for the customers status and DWT data. The DWT Frequency/\$Amount CALL MANAGER limits appropriate for the customer's status are read from the system control file and compared with DWT Frequency and \$Amount from the customer record. If any CALL MANAGER limit is exceeded, CALL MANAGER RESPONSE is built (764) regardless of status. If no limits are exceeded, the normal response for that status is built (762).

As described in Section 2.3 and 2.10, the CALL MANAGER limits are used to place predetermined transactional limits (DWT Frequency/\$Amount) on a check transaction primarily for customers with CAUTION and POSITIVE status. These limits are set as a matter of store policy, and placed in the system control file during system configuration.

For function requests other than verify and query status, the user flag and CALL MANAGER operations (750) are not included in the service request routine, and a normal response is automatically built (762) from the response data read (746) from the specified response data location.

The response -- MANAGER ONLY, PREAPPROVED, CALL MANAGER or [Normal] -- is written (766) into the appropriate terminal buffer, and when the terminal's RXBUFFER buffer is full, the terminal Data state flag is set (768) to indicate that a response is in the terminal's RXBUFFER buffer and should be sent to the terminal in the next polling sequence. The terminal request subtask then terminates (770).

The basic operation of the terminal request subtask for each request function is as described in connection with FIGURE 10B for the verify request, except that the service request routines for request functions other than verify do not implement the user flag or "CALL MANAGER" response functions (750).

3.5. Event Manager Task. The Event Manager Task manages background activities that are executed automatically without operator intervention, maintaining an Event File that includes an Event Table, an Activity Table and associated indices. The Event Table includes event records each specifying an event time, an event interval and associated activity pointers into the Activity Table. The Activity Table includes a list of activity codes.

The basic activities implemented by the Event Manager Task are:

- (a) Host/Remote Communications -- These activities transfer customer records and negative status records between host and remote systems for global update;
- (b) Purge -- These activities, one for each status, delete customer records and negative status records that are obsolete based on specified purge limits contained in the system control file; and
- (c) Backup -- These activities are regularly invoked to backup the customer and negative status files.

The host/remote communications and backup activities operate only on those customer records or negative status records that are accessed (i.e., that have their transfer dates updated) after the last corresponding activity was performed. Host/remote communications are implemented in connection with the Modem Manager Task.

The Event Table contains an event record for each event, with each event record including: (a) an

event interval specifying the interval between execution of the associated event activities; (b) the next event time, calculated by the event subtask after completing execution of an event/activity based on the event interval and the system clock; (c) up to 10 activity pointers into the Activity Table; (d) active/inactive flag set or cleared by a start/stop function request (F950 and 951 in Table 4); and (e) diagnostic abort flag that is tested during event/activity execution by the event subtask, and can be used to abort an event/activity.

In its basic operation, the Event Manager Task sequences through the events (event records) in the Event Table, spawning a corresponding event subtask to execute the specified activity.

Event/activities are started and stopped using a transaction terminal to enter a corresponding request (see the function codes 950 and 951 described in Section 2.2 and set forth in Table 4). After entry of a start/stop request at a transaction terminal, the Terminal Manager Task (terminal request subtask) addresses a service request to the Event Manager Task through the System Kernel. The Event Manager Task receives the service request from its task queue, executes the request by correspondingly modifying the event file, and returns an appropriate response to the Terminal Manager Task.

While event frequency for a given activity is a matter of store policy and design choice, typically, host/remote communications and backup will be performed fairly frequently to insure both the regular update of the customer database, and the ability to recover from a system failure without significant loss of data. On the

other hand, the purge function is more a matter of system administration designed to control the size of the customer database. Indeed, the purge function can be omitted as an event activity. In that case, the status purge limits contained in the system control file define the reset/CAUTION interval used in the roll routine to roll all statuses back to CAUTION if the specified reset/CAUTION (i.e., purge) limits are exceeded, as described in connection with FIGURE 9b.

The selection and timing of event-driven activities is a matter of design choice. The recommended event-driven activities, and the associated event intervals, are:

Host/Remote File Transfer	Every 15 minutes
System Backup	Every 10 minutes
Database Purge	Every 24 hours

The Event Manager Task sequences through the event file, selecting the specified event-driven activities on a read-next basis. Event times are specified as time intervals starting from a baseline system time 00:00:00:00:00:00 [yymmddhhmmss] for January 1, 1970 (the transaction processor includes a battery assisted hardware clock synchronized to this baseline system time).

When an event time is reached, and the associated activity is completed, the event time is incremented by the event interval, based on the previous event time and not on when the activity was actually completed. For example, if host/remote file transfers to

support global update activities (i.e., transfers of negative status records and selected customer records are to be accomplished every 15 minutes, then each activity is entered into the event file with an interval of 15:00[mmss]. The activity will be entered into the event file, along with its event interval and its initial event time of 15 minutes after system initialization (assumed to be 00:00[mmss]). The activity will then first be executed at 15:00, and when the activity is completed, the associated event time will be incremented to 30:00.

At initialization, the Event Manager Task opens the Event Table and Activity Table, and clears all semaphore flags. Thereafter, the Event Manager Task sequences through the Event Table, spawning event subtasks at specified event times to execute corresponding activities. While a given event may have several activities associated with it, only one event subtask (and only activity within an event record) is executed at a time.

FIGURE 11A is a program flow diagram for the Event Manager Task. The task continually monitors (802) the Event Manager Task queue, to determine if any EMT requests have been received from the System Kernel. These requests may be for diagnostic or system information purposes. If so, the appropriate system routine is executed (804).

If the task queue is empty, the Event Manager Task tests the event-active semaphore (810) to determine whether an event is active. If so (semaphore set), the task checks the task queue (802).

If no event is active (semaphore clear), the Event Manager Task reads (812) the next event record from the Event File, and compares (814) the event time in the event record with the current system time. If the event is greater than or equal to the system time, the Event Manager Task spawns (816) an event subtask to execute the activities associated with the event (sending a subtask request to the System Kernal).

The Event Manager Task then the task reads (812) the next event/activity from the event file.

If the event time for the next event/activity is greater than or equal to the current time (814), the Event Manager Task spawns (816) an Event Subtask to execute the event/activity.

FIGURE 11B is a program flow diagram for the event subtask. At the appropriate event time, the Event Manager Task spawns the event subtask, which receives (822) the current event record from the Event Table. The current event record includes a current event time and an activity pointer to each of up to 10 associated activities identified in the Activity Table. The event subtask sequentially executes each activity associated with the current event time.

Event subtask operation will be described in connection with executing at a remote system the activities associated with the global update function. Specifically, the event subtask will be described in connection with sequentially executing the following global update activities:

- (a) Originate call;

- (b) Send customer records (all selected statuses);
- (c) Send negative status records (NEGATIVE and CASH ONLY);
- (d) Receive customer records (all selected statuses); and
- (e) Receive negative status records (NEGATIVE and CASH ONLY).

That is, each of the send/receive activities reads all selected statuses. When the remote event subtask receives the event record containing the event time pointers into the Activity Table, it sets (824) the event-active semaphore (810 in FIGURE 11a), preventing the Event Manager Task from spawning another event subtask. The subtask then initiates an activity sequence (826, 828). Using the activity pointer in the Event Table, the subtask sequentially reads (826) activity codes from the Activity Table. The activity codes are read on a read-next basis, with each read operation being tested to determine when the last activity in the sequence is completed (828).

For each activity code read from the Activity Table, the event subtask dispatches (830) to a corresponding activity routine for execution.

Each activity routine includes an activity data control data block containing certain fixed and/or variable data used by the routine in executing the activity. Thus, for the global update event, the originate call routine includes in its activity control data block the phone number for the host (as well as other system numbers that may be called by the remote) and a corresponding log-in ID. The send/receive record routines

include in their respective activity control data blocks the previous event time for the activity which defined the end of the previous event interval for that activity.

Thus, the current event interval for a global update (send/receive) activity is defined by the previous event time in the activity routine's control data block, and the current event record. After execution of the activity, the current event time is written into the activity routine's control data block to define the beginning of the next global update event interval. (A similar control data block operation is used for the backup activity.)

A global update event begins at a remote system with an originate call activity that directs the remote Modem Manager Task (MMT) to establish a communications link to the host. This activity is dispatched to an originate call routine (840) for execution.

a
a
a
a
a
a
The originate call routine begins by building and sending to the remote ^{Modem Manager Task} MMT a request (842) to dial the host -- the ^{MMT} request includes a dial function code and the request data location into which the originate call routine writes the host telephone number, together with a specified semaphore flag. The originate call routine waits on a response from the ^{Modem Manager Task} MMT (843), periodically testing the stop semaphore flag. When the specified semaphore flag is set by the ^{Modem Manager Task} MMT, indicating that the host has been dialed and is in an off-hook condition opening a communications line, the originate call routine builds and sends to the remote ^{Modem Manager Task} MMT a request (844) to send a log-in ID to the host ^{Modem Manager Task} MMT, writing the log-in ID into a specified request data location. The originate call routine then

u waits on the specified stop semaphore flag being set (845). When the specified semaphore flag is set, indicating that the remote ~~MMT~~ ^{Modem Manager Host} has completed log-in to the host system and established an active communications link, the originate call routine terminates by setting (846) a modem flag to indicate that a communications link is active, and then returns (826) to the event subtask for execution of the next activity.

The event subtask reads (826) the code for the next activity in the global update activity sequence -- the send customer record activity.

The event subtask dispatches (830) to the corresponding send customer record routine (850). The routine first reads (852) the previous ending event time from its control data block to provide an initial customer record retrieval key to be used by the remote Data Manager Task (DMT) to retrieve a customer record from the customer record file. The retrieval key includes two fields [check ID/transfer date/time] -- each is used by the Data Manager Task to sequence through the customer record file (incrementing check ID first and then transfer date/time).

u The send customer record routine builds and sends to the ~~MMT~~ ^{Data Manager Task} a request (854) to retrieve by the retrieval key the first customer record meeting the criteria for transfer to the host during the current activity -- any customer record that was accessed (updated) during the current event interval at any time after the time specified in the retrieval key (initially, the ending time for the immediately preceding event interval during which customer records were transferred to the host). The routine writes the initial retrieval key

(with check ID set to zero) into the specified request data location to provide the DMT with the initial customer record retrieval key for the current event interval. The send customer record routine then waits (855) on the specified stop semaphore flag being set by the *DMT*. *Data Manager Task*

a The *DMT* receives the initial customer record retrieval request, and dispatches it to a corresponding customer record retrieval routine. This routine reads the initial record retrieval key (including the ending time for the previous event interval which is the beginning time for the current event interval) from the specified request data location, and using this initial key and the index [status/transfer date/check ID], retrieves the first customer record with an access date/time equal to or greater than the beginning event time (if more than one customer record has the same access date/time, then the customer record with the lowest check ID is retrieved). *Data Manager Task*
a When the *DMT* retrieval routine has retrieved this first customer record in the current event interval, it provides an appropriate response to the send customer record routine, writing the retrieved customer record into the specified response data location and sending a stop request to the System Kernal.

a When the stop semaphore is set (855), the send customer record routine reads the retrieved customer record from the specified response data location, and determines (858) that the *DMT* has returned a customer record. *Data Manager Task*
 The routine then extracts (859) the transfer date/time and check ID from the retrieved customer record, and determines (860) that the current event time, which defines the end of the current global update event

interval, is greater than the transfer date/time for the retrieved customer record, thereby confirming that the retrieved customer record was accessed during the current event interval.

a
a
a
The send customer record routine then sends a global update service request to the host ^{Data Manager Task} DMT, along with the just-retrieved remote customer record, through the remote ^{Modem Manager Task} MMT (862). The routine then waits (863) on the specified stop request being sent, along with a response (acknowledgement), by the host ^{Data Manager Task} DMT through the host ^{Modem Manager Task} MMT and the remote ^{Modem Manager Task} MMT to, respectively, the remote System Kernal and the specified response data location in the data area for the remote event subtask.

a
The above remote/host intertask communication operation is described in greater detail in Section 3.6 (Modem Manager Task).. Essentially, the Modem Manager Task is designed so that remote/host intertask communications is essentially transparent to the requesting and responding tasks. That is, the remote/host requesting task sends a service request with request data and a stop semaphore to its System Kernal addressed to the host/remote responding task. The remote/host ^{Modem Manager Task} MMTs provide an essentially transparent communications link between the remote/host System Kernels to effect the return of the stop semaphore and response data from the host/remote responding task to the remote/host requesting task.

a
When the send customer record routine detects (863) the specified stop semaphore flag being set, it requests (854) the ^{Data Manager Task} DMT to retrieve the next customer record in the current global update event interval, writing the transfer date/time and check ID extracted

(859) from the just-sent customer record into a request data location to provide a new retrieval key for the DMT.

u As with the first customer record retrieved in the current event interval, the ^{Data Manager Task} DMT dispatches this request to a customer record retrieval routine that reads the new retrieval key from the specified request data location, and using the index [status/transfer date/check ID], searches the customer file by incrementing first check ID and then transfer date/time until the next record is retrieved. The DMT retrieval routine then responds to the customer record retrieval request, writing the retrieved customer record into the specified response data location for the send customer record routine.

a This procedure -- requesting a customer record using the transfer date/time and check ID for the previous record as the retrieval key, retrieving that customer record by reading the customer file using the retrieval key, sending the retrieved customer record to the host, and requesting the next customer record -- continues until either (a) the remote ^{Data Manager Task} DMT responds to a retrieve customer record request from the send customer record routine by indicating that the customer file contains no other customer records accessed after the just-sent customer record (as detected in step 858), or (b) the send customer record routine determines that the customer record retrieved by the ^{Data Manager Task} DMT has a transfer date/time after the current event time (which defines the end of the current global update event interval as determined in steps 859, 860). In either case, the send customer routine returns to the event subtask (826), which reads the next activity from the activity table.

a

After the activity for sending customer records (by selected status) has executed, the next activity specified in the Event Table is for sending negative status records (both NEGATIVE and CASH ONLY status). The corresponding routine in the event subtask for executing the send negative status record activity operates identically to the send customer record routine (850) in retrieving negative status records accessed during the current global update event interval from the negative status file and sending those records to the host.

After negative status records have been sent, the receive customer records and negative status records activities are executed. Because of the essential transparency of the remote/host communications operation using the ~~host~~/~~remote~~ ^{Modem Manager Task} DMTs, the receive activity is analogous to the send activity. The remote receive record activity routine requests records from the host ~~DMT~~. The ^{Data Manager Task} host ~~DMT~~ responds with globally updated records that are sent by the remote routine to the remote DMT for remote global update.

When the last send/receive activity for the global update function at the current event time has been completed (i.e., the last receive negative status record routine has completed transferring negative status records from the host ~~DMT~~ to the remote ~~DMT~~ for global update), ^{Data Manager Task} that routine returns to the event subtask, which determines that the current event time contains no more activities to be executed (826) so that the activity sequence is complete (828). The event subtask then checks the modem flag (870) to determine whether any communications link is active. In the present description

of an exemplary operation of the event subtask to execute a global update function, the originate call routine (840) connects to the host and sets the event subtask modem flag (846).

Accordingly, at the completion of the activity sequence for the global update function, the event subtask detects that the modem flag is set (870) and requests the ~~MMT~~ ^{Modem Manager Task} (872) to disconnect from the host. The event subtask monitors its semaphore flag (873) until notified by the remote MMT that the communications link to the host has been terminated. When the semaphore flag is set, the event subtask clears (874) the modem flag, and then clears (876) the event active semaphore in the Event Manager Task. Finally, the event subtask (a) calculates the new event time for the event record based on the event interval and writes it into the event record, and (b) writes the current event time into its control data block for access during the next event/activity execution.

If the event subtask had been executing an event time and associated activity sequence in which communications was not necessary, such as backup or purge, the event subtask detects that the modem flag is clear (870). In that case, the event subtask would immediately clear the event active semaphore (876) and terminate (878).

3.6 Modem Manager Task. The Modem Manager Task manages modem communications, primarily to support host/remote file transfer for global update, but also for remote diagnostic purposes. Operation for host/remote file transfer depends in part upon whether the modem

manager task is running in the host or remote check transaction processing system -- all host/remote file transfers are initiated and controlled by the remote system.

Modem communications through the Modem Manager Task are essentially transparent to the other tasks, functionally operating as an extension of the normal intertask communications using intertask service requests. Thus, the remote Event Manager Task sends service requests to the host Data Manager Task through: the remote System Kernal, the remote Modem Manager Task, the host Modem Management Task and finally the host System Kernal. Similarly, the host Data Manager Task responds with a reply, including response data and a stop request, over the same host/remote communications path.

a For remote-to-host file transfers, the remote Event Manager Task first issues a dial host request to the remote Modem Manager Task, which the Modem Manager Task executes by dialing the host Modem Manager Task and detecting an off-hook condition at the host. When the remote Event Manager Task is notified by a stop semaphore that a connection has been made, it requests the ~~MMT~~ *Modem Manager Task* to send a Log-In ID to establish an active communications link. The remote Event Manager Task then issues a service request to the host Data Manager Task, which is directed by the remote System Kernal into the Modem Manager Task queue. The Modem Manager Task reads the request and sends it to the host system, where the host Modem Manager Task transfers the request to the host Data Manager Task through the host System Kernal. The host ~~Data Manager Task~~ *Data Manager Task* responds with a reply that includes a stop request --

a
a

this response is communicated through the host/remote Modem Manager Task link to the remote Event Manager Task.

At system initialization, the Modem Manager Task opens its communications port, and conducts modem start-up diagnostic tests.

a
a
u

FIGURE 12 is a program flow diagram for the Modem Manager Task. The task continually monitors (902) its task queue to detect either (a) intertask request packets written into the queue by the System Kernel, or (b) a ring indication. When an intertask request packet is written into the Modem Manager Task queue, the Task reads (904) the packet, and decodes the function code and dispatches (906) the request to an appropriate modem control routine: Dial, Send, Disconnect and Reset. A communications session will always be initiated with a Connect request directed to the Modem Manager Task, which executes the request by dialing the number specified by the request data (typically the host), and in conjunction with the host Modem Manager Task, establishing a line connection between the two systems.

Typically, when the remote Event Manager Task is advised (with a stop semaphore) by the Modem Manager Task that the host answered the call and a line connection is made, the Event Manager Task sends, via the Modem Manager Task a Log-In ID that establishes an active communications link between the two systems. Once an active communications link is established, the remote/host file transfer procedure for communicating negative status and customer records is as follows.

The remote Event Manager Task sends a request for global update of a record to the host Data Manager

Task, writing the record into a specified request data location. The remote System Kernal builds an intertask request packet and routes it to the remote Modem Manager Task. The Modem Manager Task reads (920) the request data from the location specified in the intertask request packet, and builds (922) a corresponding communications packet, including both the request and the request data. The communications packet is sent (924) to the host Modem Manager Task, and the remote Modem Manager Task waits for a reply.

When the Modem Manager Task receives (926) a reply from the host, which includes both response data (such as an acknowledgement) and a stop request, the response data is written (928) to the specified location for response data, and the stop request is sent (929) to the System Kernal, which sets the appropriate semaphore flag.

This communication procedure is continued so long as requests are sent to the Modem Manager Task (920). A remote/host file transfer session is terminated by the remote Event Manager Task sending to the remote Modem Manager Task a disconnect request (916).

The host and remote Modem Manager Tasks cooperate to establish a communications link as follows. A communications session is initiated by a dial request from the remote Event Manager Task is directed to the remote Modem Manager Task, which responds by dialing the host.

A ring indication at the host modem is detected (908) by the host Modem Manager Task, which directs the

modem into an off-hook condition (930), establishing a remote/host connection.

The remote Event Manager Task then sends an appropriate log-in identification (932).

File transfer communications are commenced when the host Modem Manager Task receives (934) a communications packet from the remote Modem Manager Task. The host Modem Manager Task builds (936) a corresponding service request that is sent (938) to the host System Kernal.

The service request is directed to the designated responding task, such as the host Data Manager Task, which executes the request and provides both response data and a stop request. The host Modem Manager Task reads (940) the stop request from its queue, and reads (942) the response data from the specified location.

The host Modem Manager Task then builds (944) an appropriate reply packet (including the response data and the "stop" request), and sends (946) the reply to the host Modem Manager Task. The next communication to the host Modem Manager Task will either be a Disconnect instruction (948) or another communications packet.

The Modem Manager Task implements remote/host communications functions in a manner that is essentially transparent to the other tasks and the System Kernal. That is, intertask communications between a remote task and a host task are accomplished in a manner identical to intertask communications between tasks running in the same check transaction processing system, except that both the remote and the host System Kernal are involved in the intertask communication, as are the remote and host Modem

Manager Tasks. However, ~~the communications function~~ provided by the remote and host Modem Manager Tasks is essentially transparent to the other tasks running in either the remote or the host. For example, the remote event subtask sends requests in the form of service requests to the host Data Manager Task just as it would send requests to the remote Data Manager Task. Specifically, the remote event subtask builds a request to the host DMT, and sends the service request to the remote System Kernal. The remote System Kernal builds a inner task request packet and places it in the remote MMT task queue. The remote MMT task reads the intertask request packet and builds a communications packet for the request to the host DMT (including function code, request data and stop semaphore flag). The remote MMT transmits the communications packet to the host MMT, which builds a corresponding service request for the host System Kernal. The host System Kernal builds an intertask request packet that is placed in the host DMT task queue. The host DMT retrieves the intertask request packet, which constitutes a request from the remote event subtask, and executes it in the same manner that it would a request from the host event subtask, writing response data into the specified response data location and sending a stop request to the host System Kernal. The host System Kernal, recognizing the stop request as being directed to the remote event subtask, builds an intertask packet with both the response data and the stop request and writes into the remote MMT task queue.

~~The remote MMT reads the intertask request packet, builds a communications packet and sends it to the~~

~~remote MMT. The remote MMT writes the response data into~~
 a specified location in the data area for the Event
 Manager Task, and sends the stop request to the remote
 System Kernal. The remote System Kernal sets the
 specified stop semaphore, notifying the remote event
 subtask that response data from the host DMT is available,
~~completing the request/response cycle.~~

4.4. Alternative Embodiments

While the check transaction processing system has been described in connection with a preferred embodiment, other embodiments within the spirit and scope of the invention as defined by the following claims will be apparent to those skilled in the art.

For example, in the case of multiple-store systems, the preferred embodiment includes separate, essentially autonomous check transaction processing systems at each store site, thereby permitting each store to establish and maintain an essentially local customer database, and limiting off-site data communications activities to remote/host file transfers for global update. However, the local customer database (and associated disk system) need not be located at the store site, but may be remote from the stores' transaction terminal network (such as by locating it in a central office) so long as: (a) transaction terminal response time is not adversely affected and, (b) the essentially local character of the customer database for each is maintained.

The preferred embodiment's implementation of a multitasking system using a System Kernal for

task-switching and intertask communications, can be readily adapted to operate under a commercial, multi-tasking operating system. These operating systems provide the task switching and intertask message communications functions performed by the System Kernal. Adapting the CTPS multi-tasking program to a commercially available multi-tasking operating system is well within the programming capabilities of those skilled in the art. Each program task would be modified in a conventional manner to accomodate the specific message communication function implemented by the multi-tasking operating system.

add a 1st

TABLE 1
CUSTOMER RECORD SPECIFICATION

<u>Field Name</u>	<u>Description</u>
char id	customer's bank id
char status	current status (CAUTION, NEGATIVE, POSITIVE, CASH ONLY, STOLEN)
char flags	id user flags [PREAPPROVE, MANAGER ONLY]
long curr date	last access date
long last date	last transfer date
long statdate	date status changed
int hitcnt	local total hit count
char hitfreq	local hit count frequency, previous 7 days
long amtfreq	local amount frequency, previous 7 days
long amount	local last dollar amount verified
long totamt	local total dollar amount verified
long date	local access time
int hitcnt	global total hit count
char hitfreq	global total hit count frequency, previous 7 days
long amtfreq	global amount frequency, previous 7 days

long amount	global last dollar amount verified
long totamt	global total dollar amount verified
long date	global access time
char status	previous status before current
int lhitcnt	previous local hit count
long ltotamt	previous local dollar amount
int ghitcnt	previous global hit count
long gtotamt	previous global dollar amount
long statdate	previous status date
int hitcnt	total hits since last transfer
long totamt	total dollars since last transfer

TABLE 2
NEGATIVE STATUS RECORD SPECIFICATION

<u>Field Name</u>	<u>Description</u>
char id	customer's bank id
char COlocid	location showing CASH ONLY
char Nlocid	location showing negative
char Nstatus	current record status NEGATIVE
CHAR COstatus	current record status CASH ONLY
long currdate	current access date
long COstatdate	date became CASH ONLY
long Nstatdate	date became negative
int hitcnt	total bad checks against location
long totamt	total bad dollars against location

TABLE 3
SYSTEM CONTROL FILE SPECIFICATION

<u>Field</u>	<u>Definition</u>
int hitcnt	hit count limit
long amount	dollar amount limit
VfyLimit	
char locid	system id
int port	keypad comm port
int pollcnt	keypad poll counter limit
int recvcnt	keypad poll receive limit
keypad	
int port	modem comm port value
char tone	tone/pulse dial mode
modem	
long strttime	system start time (machine turned on)
long currtime	current system time
char errfile	error filename
char logfile	screen log filename
char password	system access password
char flags	system control flags
sysinfo	
char dayflag	flag for day/second roll limits

long ctnroll
long ctnlim
long neglim
long poslim
long colim
long sclim
database

VfyLimit dmax

VfyLimit wmax

VfyLimit tmin

callmgr[5]

caution to positive limit
caution purge limit
negative purge limit
positive purge limit
cashonly purge limit
stolen purge limit

day maximum call manager
limits

week maximum call manager
limits

total minimum call manager
limits

TABLE 4
FUNCTION CODE SPECIFICATION

Function:	F1
Description:	Query ID, displaying current data
Keypad Input:	[id] F1
Keypad Output:	Status DhItcnt Whitcnt Thitcnt \$totamt StatDate ID
Function:	F2
Description:	List Negative Locations for entered ID
Keypad Input:	[id] F2
Keypad Output:	NEG LOCATIONS LOC1 LOC2 LOC3 ... LOC10
Function:	F3
Description:	Query Negative location ID as found on F2
Keypad Input:	[id] F3 \$n *n - LOCn as shown on F2 display
Keypad Output:	Neg Inquiry LOCn Thitcnt \$totamt negdate

Function:	F4
Description:	Query Location ID
Keypad Input:	[id] F4
Keypad Output:	LOC locid locname
Function:	F5
Description:	Query ID Hitcounts and Dollar Amounts
Keypad Input:	[id] F5
Keypad Output:	Status Dhicnt; amount Whitcnt; amount Thitcnt; amount
Function:	F40
Description:	Add Cashonly ID
Keypad Input:	id F40
Keypad Output:	CASH ONLY FILE id
Function:	F41
Description:	Add Stolen ID
Keypad Input:	id F41
Keypad Output:	STOLEN FILE id
Function:	F42
Description:	Add Preapproved ID
Keypad Input:	id F42
Keypad Output:	PREAPPROVED

Function:	F43
Description:	Add Manager Only
Keypad Input:	id F43
Keypad Output:	MANAGER ONLY
	id

Function:	F44
Description:	Add Negative ID with location
Keypad Input:	id F44
Keypad Output:	NEGATIVE FILE
	id

Function:	F55
Description:	Verify ID. If F55 is not included, verify is assumed
Keypad Input:	id [F55]
Keypad Output:	*if any limits are exceeded: CALL MANAGER id *status is caution: CAUTION hitcnt id *status is negative: NEGATIVE id *status is positive: POS Dhicnt Whitcnt Thicnt id *status is cashonly: CASH ONLY id *status is stolen: STOLEN id
Function:	F60
Description:	Delete Cashonly ID
Keypad Input:	id F160
Keypad Output:	CHECKS ACCEPTED id

Function:	F61
Description:	Delete Stolen ID
Keypad Input:	id F61
Keypad Output:	CHECKS ACCEPTED id
Function:	F66
Description:	Add Positive ID. Remove stolen list
Keypad Input:	id F66
Keypad Output:	PAID OFF FILE id
Function:	F77
Description:	Login to system to gain access to privileged commands
Keypad Input:	id F77
Keypad Output:	Login Valid Begin Session
Function:	F62
Description:	Delete Preapproved
Keypad Input:	id F62
Keypad Output:	PREAPPROVED
Function:	F43
Description:	Delete Manager Only
Keypad Input:	id F63
Keypad Output:	MANAGER ONLY

Function:	F88
Description:	Logout from system
Keypad Input:	F88
Keypad Output:	End Session Bye!
Function:	F900
Description:	Return System Author Information
Keypad Input:	F900
Keypad Output:	CVS v4.20 (c) 1989 CVC, by Scott Wood, CCP
Function:	F901
Description:	Return System Internal Date & Time
Keypad Input:	F901
Keypad Output:	System Date mm/dd/yy - hh:mm:ss
Function:	F902
Description:	Return System Memory Usage
Keypad Input:	F902
Keypad Output:	System Memory b Bytes Free

Function: F903
 Description: Return Disk Usage
 Keypad Input: n F903
 *n - 3 = Drive C
 *n - 4 = Drive D
 Keypad Output: Disk Usage (CID)
 Bytes: n Total, n Free

Function: F904
 Description: Return ID Database Size
 Keypad Input: F904
 Keypad Output: ID Database
 n Records

Function: F905
 Description: Return Negative ID Database
 Size
 Keypad Input: F905
 Keypad Output: Negative dBase
 n Records

Function: F906
 Description: Return System Information
 depending on n
 Keypad Input: n F906
 Keypad Output: *n=0 - System ID
 Location ID
 locid
 *n=1 - Keypad Data
 Keypad Info
 Port:n, Poll:n, Recv:n

*n=2 - Modem Data
Modem Info
Port 0:n, Port 1:n
*n=3 - System Start Time
Start Time
mm/dd/yy - hh:mm:ss
*n=4 - System Current Time
Current Time
mm/dd/yy - hh:mm:ss
*n=5 - System Password
Password
passid
*n=6 - System Flags
Flags n
*n=7 - Caution Roll Period
Caution Roll
n seconds
*n=8 - Caution Purge Limit
Caution Limit
n seconds
*n=9 - Negative Purge Limit
Negative Limit
n seconds
*n=10 - Positive Purge Limit
Positive Limit
n seconds
*n=11 - CashOnly Purge Limit
CashOnly Limit
n seconds

*n=12 - Stolen Purge Limit
Stolen Limit

*n=13 - Caution Call Manager
Limits
Caution Callmgr
Dhitcnt, amount -
Whitcnt, amount -
Thitcnt, amount

*n=14 - Negative Call Manager
Limits
Negative Callmgr
Dhitcnt, amount -
Whitcnt, amount -
Thitcnt, amount

*n=15 - Positive Call Manager
Limits
Positive Callmgr
Dhitcnt, amount -
Whitcnt, amount -
Thitcnt, amount -

*n=16 - Cashonly Call Manager
Limits
Cashonly Callmgr
Dhitcnt, amount -
Whitcnt, amount -
Thitcnt, amount -

*n=17 - Stolen Call Manager

Limits

Stolen Callmgr

Dhitcnt, amount -

Whitcnt, amount -

Thitcnt, amount -

Function:

F940

Description:

Toggle Screen Logging

Keypad Input:

n F940

*n=0:Off, 1:On

Keypad Output:

Screen Log

(ON|OFF)

Function:

F950

Description:

Start Event Activity for
event n

Keypad Input:

n F950 [mmddmmss]

*n=event number

Keypad Output:

Event n

Stopped

Function:

F951

Description:

Stop Event Activity for event
n

Keypad Input:

n F951

Keypad Output:

Event n

Stopped

Function: F952
 Description: Get Event Activity for event
 n
 Keypad Input: n F952
 *n=event number
 Keypad Output: mm/dd/yy - hh:mm:ss
 act1 act2 act3 ... act10

Function: F953
 Description: Get Activity Status for
 activity n
 Keypad Input: n F953
 *n=event number
 Keypad Output: activity description
 activity data

Function: F960
 Description: Toggle Keypad Debug Mode
 Keypad Input: n F960
 *n=0:Off, 1:On
 Keypad Output: Keypad Debut
 (ON|OFF)

Function: F961
 Description: Return Keypad number
 associated with current pad
 Keypad Input: F961
 Keypad Output: Keypad Number
 n

Function:	F970
Description:	<hr/>
Keypad Input:	F970
Keypad Output:	Modem Debug (ON OFF)
Function:	F971
Description:	Reset Modem
Keypad Input:	F971
Keypad Output:	Reset Modem
Function:	F980
Description:	Toggle Data Manager Debug Mode
Keypad Input:	n F980 *n=0:Off, 1:On
Keypad Output:	DataBase Debug
Function:	F981
Description:	Open database system if currently closed
Keypad Input:	F981
Keypad Output:	Open dBase
Function:	F982
Description:	Close database system if currently open
Keypad Input:	F982
Keypad Output:	Close dBase

Function: F983
Description: Return Internal Database
Status
Keypad Input: F983
Keypad Output: Database Status
B:bsyflag, H:hltflag,
C:clsflag, Dbg:Dbgflag,
E:error, D:doserr

Function: F990
Description: Toggle System Supervisor
Debug Mode
Keypad Input: n F990,
*n=0:Off, 1:On
Keypad Output: SysServe Debut
(ON|OFF)

Function: F999
Description: Shut System Down
Keypad Input: password F999
Keypad Output: System Halted

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

~~1~~ 1 A check transaction processing system using a customer's checking account identification number as a unique customer identification code ("check ID") comprising:

a transaction processor for processing check transactions for a store, and for storing a customer database, including customer records, for that store;

each customer record being uniquely identified by the customer's check ID, and including selected customer information;

said customer information including check verification status information such that a customer is assigned one of the following check verification status values:

POSITIVE -- the customer's check transaction history meets preselected positive criteria for authorizing the check transaction;

NEGATIVE -- the customer's check transaction history meets preselected negative criteria for not authorizing the check transaction; or

CAUTION -- the customer's check transaction history meets neither the positive or negative criteria, so that authorization of the check transaction depends upon predetermined interim criteria.

said customer information including check transaction data about the customer's check transaction history (including frequency and dollar volume over

add

selected intervals ^{period} which is updated for each check transaction to reflect the current check transaction. 21

at least one transaction terminal located at a point-of-sale and remotely coupled to said transaction processor for transmitting customer information requests to, and receiving customer information responses from, said transaction processor;

each customer information request including the customer's check ID and a function code identifying the information requested;

one of the customer information-request function codes designating a check transaction verification function in which the corresponding customer information response is used for check transaction authorization.

said transaction processor processing each customer information request by: 44

retrieving the corresponding customer record, if it exists in the customer database; and

generating a customer information response in accordance with the function code in the customer information request, reflecting either the customer information in the customer record, or the absence of such a customer record in the customer database.

2. The check transaction processing system defined in Claim 1, wherein:

the CAUTION status designates an interim status after the customer's first check transaction, which lasts for a period of time defined by a CAUTION/POSITIVE limit;

the CAUTION status being automatically changed to a POSITIVE status upon the occurrence of preselected CAUTION/POSITIVE criteria.

3. The check transaction processing system defined in Claim 2, wherein if a check transaction meets preselected transactional criteria based on the customer's check transaction history, the response to a customer information request for check verification is a conditional response, regardless of the check verification status indicated in the customer record.

4. The check transaction processing system defined in Claim 3, wherein said predetermined CAUTION/POSITIVE criteria comprises no bad checks being received for the customer during said CAUTION/POSITIVE period.


5. The check transaction processing system defined in Claim 4, wherein a POSITIVE status is automatically changed to a CAUTION status if the transaction interval between a customer's check transactions exceeds a preselected reset/CAUTION limit.

6. The check transaction processing system defined in Claim 5, wherein:

said transaction processor is coupled by a communications link to at least one other transaction processor in another store;

said other transaction processor processing check transactions, and storing a customer database, for such other store;

said transaction processors communicating, at preselected intervals, preselected global customer information between the stores.

A handwritten signature or set of initials, possibly reading "B.H. 10/5", with a large, sweeping flourish extending to the right.

7 ¹²⁵ A check verification method, comprising the steps of:

storing check transaction information relative to a plurality of customers;

said transaction information including a positive indicator indicating a customer has previously fulfilled predetermined check transaction criteria;

said check transaction information including a negative indicator indicating a customer has not successfully fulfilled said predetermined check transaction criteria;

said check transaction information further including a provisional indicator indicating insufficient data as to whether or not a customer has successfully fulfilled said predetermined check transaction criteria;

said check transaction information further including check transaction frequency and check transaction dollar amounts for a customer over selected intervals;

placing predetermined limits on check transaction frequency and dollar amounts over predetermined intervals for a customer with a positive indicator, in dependence upon the customer's continuing check transaction history;

automatically changing said indicator status for each customer in dependence upon the customer's continuing check transaction history.

Add
E1

Add J2



00061-00 11

07/34-475

1

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, that I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention, design or discovery entitled

CHECK TRANSACTION PROCESSING SYSTEM AND METHOD

the specification of which (check one).

X is attached hereto; or
 was filed on
as Application Serial No.
and was amended on
(if applicable);

that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above; that I do not know and do not believe that said invention, design or discovery was ever known or used in the United States of America before my invention or discovery thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any

country before my invention or discovery thereof, or more than one year prior to this application, or in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application; that said invention, design or discovery has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued prior to the date of this Application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns; and that I acknowledge my duty to disclose information of which I am aware which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. § 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

<u>Number</u>	<u>Country</u>	<u>Date Filed</u>	<u>Priority Claimed</u>	
			(Yes)	(No)

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application(s) in the manner provided by the first

paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 156(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application		
<u>Serial Number</u>	<u>Date Filed</u>	<u>Status</u>

I hereby appoint:

301

Jerry W. Mills, Reg. No. 23,005
 Jerry R. Selinger, Reg. No. 26,582
 Jefferson Perkins, Reg. No. 31,407
 Alan W. Lintel, Reg. No. 32,478
 Alan Bruce Clay, Reg. No. 32,121
 Roy L. VanWinkle, Reg. No. 19,858
 Andrew S. Viger, Reg. No. 28,552
 Robert M. Chiaviello, Reg. No. 32,461 *300*

all of the firm Baker, Mills & Glast, my attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith, and to file and prosecute any international patent applications filed thereon before any international authorities under the Patent Cooperation Treaty.

Send Correspondence To:

601 Baker, Mills & Glast, P.C.
601 500 Trammell Crow Center
161 2001 Ross Avenue
161 Dallas, Texas 75201

Direct Telephone Calls To:

Andrew S. Viger
at (214) 220-8200
Atty. Docket No. 26656-170

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Full name of the sole or first inventor

411
David W. Deaton
First Middle Last
 Inventor's signature David W. Deaton
 Date April 27, 1989
 Residence (City, County, State) 922 Ruswood Circle (Taylor
County), Abilene, Texas 79601 TX
 Citizenship United States of America
 Post Office Address Same as Above

Full name of second joint inventor, if any

402
Robert Scott Wood
First Middle Last

Second Inventor's signature Robert Scott Wood

Date April 27, 1989

Residence (City, County, State) 902 Pardoners Road (Taylor County), Abilene, Texas 79602 TX

Citizenship United States of America

Post Office Address Same as Above

Full name of third joint inventor, if any

First Middle Last

Third Inventor's signature _____

Date _____

Residence (City, County, State) _____

Citizenship _____

Post Office Address _____

08/117951
826255
~~87845475~~




FIG. 1




FIG. 2A

Print: Drawing
As Original Filed

06/117951
826255
~~045475~~




FIG. 2B

08/117951
~~826255~~
~~07/845475~~




FIG. 3

08/117951

~~826255~~

~~345475~~

CHECK VERIFICATION




FIG. 4

08/11/95
826255
345475

LOCAL STATUS UPDATE
ADD/DELETE NEGATIVE STATUS




FIG. 5

08/11/75

~~826233~~
~~11/345475~~

HOST GLOBAL UPDATE




FIG. 6A

08/117951

~~82625~~
~~01/345475~~

REMOTE GLOBAL UPDATE




FIG. 6B

08/117951

~~82625~~
~~845475~~




FIG. 7

08/117951

~~82625~~
~~345475~~

SYSTEM KERNEL




FIG. 8

08/117951

826255
11/245475




FIG. 9A

08/117951

~~826255~~
~~01/845475~~

**VERIFY
ROUTINE
500**




FIG. 9B

08/117951

~~82625~~
~~345475~~




FIG. 9C

08/11/95

826255
355475

ADD NEGATIVE
ROUTINE
550




FIG. 9D

08/11/79 51

826255
#7345475

DELETE NEGATIVE
ROUTINE
580




FIG. 9E

08/117951

82625
87/050475

HOST GLOBAL UPDATE
(NEGATIVE STATUS)
ROUTINE
600




FIG. 9F

08/11/95

82628
11/345475

HOST GLOBAL UPDATE
(CUSTOMER)
ROUTINE
630




FIG. 9G

08/117951

826255
#1/245475




FIG. 9H

08/117951

~~826255~~
~~345475~~




FIG. 10A

08/11/95

826255
#345475

TERMINAL REQUEST SUBTASK




FIG. 10B

08/117951

~~826255~~
~~11/345475~~




FIG. 11A

08/17951
826255
#345475

EVENT SUBTASK




FIG. 11B

08/11/95
826255
11/345475

MODEM MANAGER TASK




FIG. 12

08/117957
826 255
11/245475




FIG. 1




FIG. 2A

08/11/95
 826255
 045475




FIG. 2B

08/11795/

~~826255~~
~~11/245475~~




FIG. 3

08/117951

~~826255~~
~~87-355475~~

CHECK VERIFICATION




FIG. 4

08/11/85

826255
~~245475~~

~~245475~~

LOCAL STATUS UPDATE
ADD/DELETE NEGATIVE STATUS




FIG. 5

08/117981

826255

~~87845475~~

HOST GLOBAL UPDATE




FIG. 6A

08/117951

82625
117345475

REMOTE GLOBAL UPDATE




FIG. 6B

08/11/95

826255

~~01/24/95~~




FIG. 7

08/117951

~~826255~~
~~11/24/75~~
~~645475~~

SYSTEM KERNAL




FIG. 8

08/117987

826255
~~845475~~




FIG. 9A

VERIFY
ROUTINE
500




FIG. 9B

08/11/95

11/82625
345475

ROLL ROUTINE
520




FIG. 9C

08/117951

~~826255~~
~~345475~~

ADD NEGATIVE
ROUTINE
550




FIG. 9D

08/117951

826255
11-845475

DELETE NEGATIVE
ROUTINE
580




FIG. 9E

08/117951

~~826255~~
~~87/85455~~
~~840475~~

HOST GLOBAL UPDATE
(NEGATIVE STATUS)
ROUTINE
600




FIG. 9F

08/11/79

826255
345475

HOST GLOBAL UPDATE (CUSTOMER) ROUTINE 630




FIG. 9G

08/117951

~~826255~~
~~345475~~




FIG. 9H

08/11/95

07/22/255

~~07/22/255~~
~~07/22/255~~




FIG. 10A

08/117981

~~826255~~
345475




FIG. 10B

08/11798 L

~~826235~~
~~11/245475~~




FIG. 11A

08/11/95
82625
345475

EVENT SUBTASK




FIG. 11B

08/11/85
~~826285~~
~~245475~~




FIG. 12

SERIAL NUMBER (Series of 1987)	11/345475	PATENT DATE	PATENT NUMBER
-----------------------------------	------------------	-------------	---------------

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	CLASS	SUBCLASS	GROUP ART UNIT	EXAMINER
07/345,475	05/01/89	340 364	825.34 405	204 231B	<i>[Signature]</i> H. B. L. A.

APPLICANTS DAVID W. DEATON, ABILENE, TX; ROBERT S. WOOD, ABILENE, TX.

CONTINUING DATA**
VERIFIED

FOREIGN/PCT APPLICATIONS**
VERIFIED

FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED 05/20/89

***** SMALL ENTITY *****

Foreign priority claimed <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no 35 USC 119 conditions met <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	AS FILED	STATE OR COUNTRY TX	SHEETS DRWGS. 22	TOTAL CLAIMS 7	INDEP. CLAIMS 2	FILING FEE RECEIVED \$ 185.00	ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO. 26656-170
Verified and Acknowledged <i>[Signature]</i>							
ADDRESS BAKER, MILLS AND GLAST <i>Jerry W. Mills, Baker & Glast</i> 800 TRAMMELL CROW CENTER 2001 ROSS AVENUE DALLAS, TX 75201							

TITLE #3 CHECK TRANSACTION PROCESSING METHOD AND SYSTEM <i>With 3-year priority claim</i> <i>Check Verification Status Report on 11/11/89</i>

U.S. DEPT. of COMM.-Pat. & TM Office - PTO-436L (rev. 10-78)

PARTS OF APPLICATION
FILED SEPARATELY

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE MAILED		PREPARED FOR ISSUE		CLAIMS ALLOWED	
		Assistant Examiner	Docket Clerk	Total Claims	Print Claim
ISSUE FEE		Primary Examiner		DRAWING	
Amount Due	Date Paid			Sheets Drwg.	Figs. Drwg.
		ISSUE CLASSIFICATION		ISSUE BATCH NUMBER	
		Class	Subclass		
<p>WARNING: The information disclosed herein may be restricted. Unauthorized disclosure may be prohibited by the United States Code Title 35, Sections 122, 181 and 368. Possession outside the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office is restricted to authorized employees and contractors only.</p>					

← amended, came in after cancellation

INDEX OF CLAIMS

Claim	Final	Original	Date
1	✓	9/11/80	
2	✓		
3	✓		
4	✓		
5	✓		
6	✓		
7	✓	10/29/81	
8	✓		
9	✓		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17	✓		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	✓		
26			
27			
28			
29			
30			
31			
32			
33			
34			
35	✓		
36	✓		
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44	✓		
45			
46			
47			
48			
49			
50			

Claim	Final	Original	Date
51			
52			
53			
54			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			
61			
62			
63			
64			
65			
66			
67			
68			
69			
70			
71			
72			
73			
74			
75			
76			
77			
78			
79			
80			
81			
82			
83			
84			
85			
86			
87			
88			
89			
90			
91			
92			
93			
94			
95			
96			
97			
98			
99			
100			

SYMBOLS
✓ Rejected
- Allowed
- (through numeral) Cancelled
+ Restituted
N Non-pacted
I Interference
A Appeal
O Objection

SEARCHED <input type="checkbox"/>			
Class	Sub	Date	Exmr
235	380	5/5/92	NC
379	380 91	↓	↓
320	825.33	↓	↓
364	401	↓	↓
368	408	↓	↓
update	done	3/4/93	re

[illegible]

INTERFERENCE SEARCHED			
Class	Sub.	Date	Exmr.

07/345475

APPROVED FOR LICENSE

LAY 098934

INITIALS

Entered
or
Counted

CONTENTS

Received
or
Mailed1. Application ✓ papers.2. Pres. Act

2-5-90

3. Change of Add.

5-23-90

4. Examiner Interview Summary Record

11/14/90

5. Rej (3 mos)

11/14/90 0/24

6. X-tome (mo)

3-19-91

7. Amdt A & Election

3-19-91

8. Att. 2

4/16/91 4/8

9. Response (Remarks)

5-20-91 cm 5/16/91

10. Letter

7/2/91

11. Ex. Interview - Seem.

7/2/91

12. Amdt B

8-6-91 cm 8-2-91

13. Supplemental Amdt C

Oct 9, 1991 10/21

14. Final Rej. 3 mos.

11/14/91

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

FORM PTO-875 REV. 1-85 PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	SERIAL NO. 345475	FILING DATE 5-1-89
	APPLICANT (FIRST NAMED): Deaton, L. W., et al.		

CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I

FOR	NO. FILED	NO. EXTRA
BASIC FEE		
TOTAL CLAIMS	7	20+
INDEP. CLAIMS	2	3+
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT		

SMALL ENTITY

RATE	FEE
	\$185
x 6	\$
x 18	\$
60	\$
TOTAL	\$

OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY

RATE	FEE
	\$370
x 12	\$
x 36	\$
120	\$
TOTAL	\$

* If the difference in Col. 1 is less than 20, enter "0" in Col. 2.

CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II

AMENDMENT A	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	
	TOTAL	19	MINUS 20	-
	INDEP.	4	MINUS 3	1
	FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM			

SMALL ENTITY

RATE	ADDIT. FEE
x 6	\$
x 18	\$
60	\$
TOTAL	\$

OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY

RATE	ADDIT. FEE
x 12	\$
x 36	\$ 72.00
120	\$
TOTAL	\$ 72.00

TOTAL
ADDIT. FEE

AMENDMENT B	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	
	TOTAL		MINUS	
	INDEP.		MINUS	
	FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM			

SMALL ENTITY

RATE	ADDIT. FEE
x 6	\$
x 18	\$
60	\$
TOTAL	\$

OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY

RATE	ADDIT. FEE
x 12	\$
x 36	\$
120	\$
TOTAL	\$

TOTAL
ADDIT. FEE

AMENDMENT C	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	
	TOTAL		MINUS	
	INDEP.		MINUS	
	FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM			

SMALL ENTITY

RATE	ADDIT. FEE
x 6	\$
x 18	\$
60	\$
TOTAL	\$

OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY

RATE	ADDIT. FEE
x 12	\$
x 18	\$
60	\$
TOTAL	\$

TOTAL
ADDIT. FEE

* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, enter "0" in Col. 3.

** If the highest no. previously paid for in this space is less than 20, enter "20".

*** If the highest no. previously paid for in this space is less than 3, enter "3".

**** The highest no. previously paid for (Total or Indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1.

	9	0	1		COUNTRY CODE	FCT/FOREIGN APPLICATION SERIAL NUMBER	Youth	Teen	Adult
RECORD	9	0	1						
RECORD	9	0	2						
RECORD	9	0	3						
RECORD	9	0	4						
RECORD	9	0	5						
RECORD	9	0	6						
RECORD	9	0	7						
RECORD	9	0	8						
RECORD	9	0	9						
RECORD	9	1	0						

FOREIGN PRIORITY CLAIMED?

☐ YES ☒ NO

☐ APPLICATION PAPERS

☐ MORE ON SUPPLEMENTAL CODING SHEET

PATENT APPLICATION SERIAL NO. ~~07/~~345475

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
FEE RECORD SHEET

050 05/04/89 345475

1 201 185.00 CK

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☒ **BLACK BORDERS**

☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**

☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**

☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**

☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**

☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**

☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**

☒ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**

☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**

☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.